



ANALYTIC SPEAKING CRITERIA

Certificat de capacitat del personal acadèmic per a la docència en anglès

CANDIDATE'S ID:	Set number:
ASSESSOR:	INTERVIEWER:

		Unsatisfactory		Satisfactory		Very satisfactory	Analytic score
Fluency, coherence & cohesion and Interaction		<p>Speaker maintains communication, but pausing to search for language is evident and frequent. Difficulty in repairing communication.</p> <p>Ideas are not always connected and sometimes may be difficult to follow. Understanding of ideas becomes more difficult.</p> <p>Miscomprehension of contributions and underdeveloped responses may hinder appropriate interaction. <i>Relevant specific information not clearly conveyed, and with some difficulty.</i></p>		<p>Fluent with relatively little pausing when dealing with conceptually difficult subjects or extended stretches. Can repair communication.</p> <p>Maintains a coherent, logical discourse, using some cohesive devices. Facilitates understanding by highlighting key points, presenting them in a logical order and repeating key aspects.</p> <p>Displays comprehension of contributions and develops appropriate relevant responses. <i>Provides relevant specific information (and details) found in text of their professional field.</i></p>		<p>Expresses themselves fluently, smoothly and effortlessly. Pauses are limited to reflection on content.</p> <p>Produces very well-structured discourse, using a wide variety of cohesive devices. Explains the relationships of parts to the whole and approaches the topic in different ways.</p> <p>Develops full, relevant responses with no evidence of having to restrict what they want to say. <i>Provides fluent relevant specific information and details found in text of their professional field.</i></p>	
Linguistic range and accuracy		<p>The range of language displayed restricts effective communication.</p> <p>General grammatical control of basic structures with systematic errors occurring frequently. Mistakes may lead to misunderstanding.</p> <p>Linguistic limitations make the topic less accessible.</p>		<p>Shows good range of language, allowing gaps to be readily overcome with circumlocutions. Accurate use of technical terms in their field.</p> <p>Shows good grammatical control; occasional slips or non-systematic errors and minor flaws may still occur, but they are rare. Mistakes do not cause misunderstanding.</p> <p><i>Adapts their language to make a specialist topic accessible to target audience.</i></p>		<p>An excellent command of a very broad range of language with no sign of having to restrict what they want to say.</p> <p>Consistently maintains a high degree of grammatical accuracy. Errors are difficult to spot.</p> <p>Adapts their language (register, detail and degree of sophistication) to the audience concerned.</p>	
Intelligibility		<p>Considerable L1 interference may cause misunderstanding. Key terms and related lexis occasionally pronounced inaccurately.</p> <p>Some degree of strain on a general international audience.</p>		<p>Pronunciation is generally comprehensible. L1 influence does not impede communication. Key terms and related lexis are pronounced with accuracy.</p> <p>Little or no strain on a general international audience.</p>		<p>Pronunciation and intonation are clear and natural, with little L1 interference. Attempts to use intonation to express finer shades of meaning.</p> <p>Easy to understand in any context.</p>	
Score	0	1	2	3	4	5	

✓	X	HOLISTIC SCORE