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## Preface

The concept of She Figures originally came about thanks to the considerable efforts mobilised by various stakeholders, especially the Helsinki Group on Women and Science and its sub-group of Statistical Correspondents. The first issue, She Figures 2003, broadened the existing base of descriptive statistics and crucially, provided easy access to data on the participation of women as graduates, researchers, academic staff, funding beneficiaries and scientific board members. This second issue of She Figures continues that valuable work and also allows us to see how the participation of women is evolving in the enlarged European Union of 25 Member States and in seven countries associated to the 6th Framework Programme for Research and Development.

She Figures 2006 shows that women remain a minority among researchers in the EU ( $29 \%$ in 2003, a slight increase from $27 \%$ in 1999), but that the number of women in research is increasing (plus 4\%, compared to 2.4\% for men). This represents an increase of some 140,000 researchers in the period, of which $39 \%$ were women. While this indicates a continued positive trend overall, we should not forget that women remain underrepresented in science, especially in leading positions.

It is vital that we build upon this progress and continue our efforts in gender mainstreaming and monitoring.

Women's increasing participation in the European Research Area is integral to its success. There can be no room for gender bias if the
 EU is to move towards the 3\% objective and achieve the knowledge based economy that is so essential for the sustained competitiveness of Europe.
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## Executive summary

She Figures 2006 is the second publication of selected EU employment statistics disaggregated by sex and supplemented by certain other complementary data, which provide illuminating perspectives on the current employment situation of male and female scientists and researchers. The series was launched in 2003 by the Women and Science Unit of the Directorate General for Research in order to establish a rolling record that would be useful in mapping progress towards gender equality. The She Figures booklet follows the format of another DG Research statistical publication, Key Figures, which looks at investment and performance in the knowledge based economy, including data on human resources in R\&D. Above all, it was intended that the series would provide systematic evidence of gender imbalances for which policy intervention might be appropriate at EU and/or at Member State level. A subsidiary aim was to promote the collection of sex disaggregated statistics for a wide range of indicators. She Figures 2006 presents a number of analyses that were not available for She Figures 2003. There are, however, still important gaps in the data presented here, but the patterns of horizontal and vertical segregation by sex are strongly present in all of the evidence analysed.

The publication supports EU's policy for strengthening the European knowledge economy, and so the analysis deals only with professionals who have a tertiary level of education ${ }^{1}$. Detailed attention is given to those employed within the science and technology fields, as broadly defined, and even more particularly still to those employed as researchers. Gender imbalance is known to be a serious issue in these areas of employment, and the analysis confirms this to be so. In addition, there is clear evidence that women are under-deployed in research generally and in S\&T specifically, have poorer access to R\&D resources, receive lower pay on average, and have a disproportionately lower chance than men of reaching senior levels or holding positions of influence, for example through membership of scientific boards. This persistent pattern of disadvantage or relative exclusion raises large questions about social justice and the nature of the current research environment. More particularly, the figures suggest that the EU's research capacity will be difficult to sustain and impossible to increase according to the ambitious plans that have been set, if intellectual resources are not drawn from those with appropriate abilities and attainment on a more equitable basis than they are at present.

[^0]Women made up only $29 \%$ of those employed as scientists and engineers in 2004, and the growth rate in their participation between 1998 and 2004 was lower than that of men, so that, if this trend continues, the differential between men and women in this occupational group will widen. Other differences are similarly pronounced:

- across the EU as a whole, only $29 \%$ of researchers are women
- only $18 \%$ of researchers in the business and enterprise sector are women, even though this is the largest R\&D sector in most countries, and the one that will need to provide two-thirds of the finance to meet the EU target of $3 \%$ of GDP devoted to R\&D by 2010 (an increase that will in total require some 700,000 additional researchers²)
- in higher education, only $15 \%$ of those at the highest academic grade (grade A) are women
- the gender imbalance at the senior grade is even greater in engineering and technology, where the proportion of women is just 5.8\%
- of the 17 Member States that have provided data, there are only two where the proportion of female members of scientific boards is over $40 \%$; only one in the range $30-39 \%$; and five in the range $20-29 \%$, with all the rest below $20 \%$.

The graphs and figures, together with the interpretative commentary, make available an array of indicative evidence in accessible form, questions are posed, the need for further analysis is pointed out, and attention is drawn to opportunities for targeted policy development. There are many areas in which it would be beneficial to have information from a greater number of Member States, and areas of employment in which it would be useful to have more systematic and comprehensive data so that the dynamics of gendered employment choices and career trajectories might be better understood. This is particularly so in relation to the key sector of business and enterprise. But, despite these current limitations, the She Figures are now established as a benchmarking tool and an instrument in policy development which can be anticipated being further refined as the quality and availability of disaggregated data continue to improve.
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General introduction

## General introduction

She Figures 2006 presents an array of sex disaggregated data for those with high-level tertiary qualifications who are engaged in the workforce at a professional level, shaping, creating and managing new knowledge, processes, methods and systems. Particular attention is paid to various forms of scientific employment, since this is an area of crucial importance for the continuing success of Europe as a knowledge economy, and because scientific employment is recognised as an area in which women remain under-represented, to the detriment of the European research capacity and its social integration.

This is the second such booklet: the first was She Figures 2003, and it is no coincidence that it resembles DG Research's flagship statistical publication Key Figures. Those familiar with the first publication will recognise that the overall structure of the report remains the same: chapter 1 sets out women's participation in employment from various perspectives; chapter 2 examines gender differences across fields of employment broadly defined by subject orientation and employment sectors; chapter 3 analyses evidence for gender differentiation at senior levels; and chapter 4 presents data which provide evidence for the extent of women's contribution to setting the scientific agenda ('scientific' in this context including the humanities and social sciences as well as the various fields of science and technology). Chapters 1 and 2 are thus concerned with horizontal segregation, and chapters 3 and 4 with vertical segregation. As in She Figures 2003, data on the gender-balance of those who graduate with

PhD/Doctorate or equivalent are also included, since this provides some indication of the profile of the potential highly qualified workforce of the future. She Figures 2006 goes further than She Figures 2003, however, by providing several sets of additional data, including the Glass Ceiling index (chapter 3), and the gender pay-gap data by selected professional occupations in business enterprise (chapter 4).

The data presented in the following pages demonstrate that women's intellectual potential, and their contribution to society are not being fully capitalised upon. In particular, their participation is dramatically low in certain branches of the natural sciences and in engineering and technology, which are key R\&D areas. Women are seriously under-represented in the business enterprise sector where the EU's R\&D is most highly intensive; and in senior academic grades and influential positions where strategies are set, policies are developed, and the agenda for the future is determined.

The resource implications of these gender imbalances are of major importance for the economy of Europe, since the EU's research capacity will be difficult to sustain and impossible to increase according to plan without a disproportionate amount of the growth necessarily coming from training and retaining women. The scale of the problem is evident if one considers the goal of achieving R\&D expenditure of $3 \%$ GDP by 2010.

It has been calculated that this will require a further 700,000 researchers, and that two-thirds of the increased capacity will need to be found within the business and enterprise sector. Yet the key policy areas for innovation and economic growth, and the chief sector for delivery are the very ones where women are most seriously under-represented. The corollary, however, is that these are the areas in which policy interventions should be able to improve women's participation, to the benefit of the economy as a whole. Furthermore, the promotion of a research environment free of gender bias will bring more equitable social benefits and a greater degree of democratic engagement.

## Data sources

Most of the statistics used in this publication are drawn from Eurostat, the European Commission services' official data source, namely research \& development, education, labour force and structure of earnings statistics. In complement to these statistics the Statistical Correspondents provide insight on a deeper level, by providing data on the seniority of academic staff by sex, differences between men and women for funding success rates and proportion of women on scientific boards. The Statistical Correspondents is a sub-group of the Helsinki Group of Women and Science led by the Women and Science Unit of the Directorate-General for Research. A list of the Statistical Correspondents can be found in Annex 6.

## Eurostat

The data from Eurostat all originate from a variety of different surveys conducted at national level:
Researchers and R\&D Expenditure data are collected through
the R\&D Survey which is since 2004 a joint data collection between Eurostat and the OECD.
Human Resources in Science and Technology data are collected through Eurostat's Labour Force Survey
Education data are collected through the UOE (UNESCO-UIS, OECD, Eurostat) questionnaire.
Gender Pay Gap data have been collected through SES2002 (Structure of Earnings survey 2002)

## Statistical Correspondents

The statistics on the seniority of academic staff, research funding success rates and membership of scientific boards are collected at the national level through Higher Education and R\&D Surveys, Ministries and Academies of Science, Research Councils and Universities as part of their own monitoring systems and administrative records. It is important to note that these data are not always ready for cross-country comparison at EU level. Technical details relating to adherence to standards and categorisation and data sources can be found in Annex 5.

## Key definitions

PhD/Doctorate or equivalent graduates: The International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) identifies a specific level - ISCED 6 - as "tertiary programmes which lead to the award of an advanced research qualification" (UNESCO, 1997). Education programmes such as PhDs and their equivalents are included in this level for all countries, as well as some post-doctoral programmes and, in a few cases, some shorter post-graduate programmes that are a pre-requisite for the Doctorate (for example the D.E.A. in France).

Human Resources in Science \& Technology (HRSTC): This section of the workforce is defined as those who are both qualified tertiary educated graduates in the labour force and those who are working in professional or technician occupations ${ }^{3}$ not formally qualified as above.

Scientists and Engineers (S\&E): Data for this group are also drawn from the Community Labour Force Survey, more specifically from the professional occupations category, but are restricted to "physical, mathematical and engineering occupations" and life science and health occupations" and therefore exclude scientists in other occupational fields, such as social, or agricultural sciences.

Researchers: According to the common definition in the Frascati Manual (OECD, 2002), "Researchers are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems and also in the management of the projects concerned".

More detailed information on these definitions can be found in Annex 5.

[^2]Critical mass

## 1. Critical mass

The data presented in this chapter provides evidence of women's participation in research at the high professional level characterised by the shaping, creating and managing of new knowledge, processes, methods and systems. Particular attention is paid to those engaged in various forms of scientific employment, since this is an area of crucial importance for the continuing success of Europe as a knowledge economy. The chapter also includes analysis of the gender-balance of those who graduate with PhD/Doctorate or equivalent (ISCED 6), since this information provides some indication of the profile of the potential highly qualified workforce of the future.

## Overview

Figure 1.1 provides an initial overview of employment participation, both as a snapshot for the year 2004, and as a dynamic process of change over the period 1998-2004. In 2004 women accounted for $44 \%$ of the total labour force, and between 1998 and 2004 their participation rate had risen faster than that of men (1.5\% for women; $0.4 \%$ for men). For Scientists and Engineers, however, female participation was markedly lower, at $29 \%$, with the participation rate between 1998 and 2004 increasing much more slowly than that of men ( $0.3 \%$ for women; $2.0 \%$ for men). This is a worrying scenario since, if it persists, women's participation in the field of science and engineering will decrease in relative terms. The picture is significantly better for women who have received a tertiary education and who are employed in professional or technician occupations. This represents a broader range of
employment, and women are here strongly represented. Their participation in this segment of the workforce, at $50 \%$, is greater than the participation of women in the workforce as a whole. The growth in their participation between 1998-2004 was greater than that of the men (4.0\% for women; $2.2 \%$ for men), and was also significantly greater than the $1.5 \%$ growth in the participation rate of women in the workforce as a whole. These figures suggest that tertiary education strengthens the participation of women and is a valuable social investment in achieving employment equity.

## PhD graduates

The snapshot figures for PhD/Doctorate or equivalent (ISCED 6) graduates in 2003 (fig. 1.2) show that, in the EU as a whole, the percentage of women graduating at this level stands at $43 \%$. This is an improvement on the situation in 1999, when the EU average was $38 \%$. It has to be remembered, however, that these figures are for all disciplines, and that they consequently mask significant gender variations across subject groupings. These variations will be examined in chapter 2. A further point to note from an EU perspective is that three of the larger countries - France, the UK, and Germany - which numerically provide a substantial proportion of the total research resource, are below the EU average in the percentage of women graduating at this level. More informative than the snapshot, however, are the rates of growth (fig.1.3) since the national trends identified here raise questions about future levels of women's participation
and the possible need for policy intervention, either to strengthen a positive trend or to reverse a negative one. Such actions would depend on a more detailed analysis of the figures at Member State level, together with further analysis of the social and structural factors in play, which will vary from country to country.

It is encouraging to see in Figure 1.3 that the participation of women at this level has increased between 1999 and 2003 at a significantly higher rate than that of men (women 7\%; men 2\%). This is an improvement on the growth rates for 1998-2001 which were $5 \%$ for women and $1 \%$ for men. However, the development of policy at EU and Member States level will depend on analyses by subject-domain. It is only by this means that it will be possible to identify whether sufficient numbers of women are qualifying in the subjects that will equip them to achieve participation in key policy areas at a growth-rate high enough to produce equity within a reasonable period, and thus strengthen the research resource in line with national needs and the over-all EU target of the $3 \%$ objective in R\&D.

## Employment ${ }^{4}$

Figure 1.4 sets out the rates at which women and men who have been educated at a tertiary level are working in professional or technician occupations. The rates for women are much the same as those for men, with a general tendency for a slightly higher proportion of tertiary educated women than men to be employed in the EU as a whole and in all Member States with the exception of France, Italy, Luxembourg and Cyprus. In interpreting the figures, however, it is important to bear in mind that the Human Resources in Science and Technology Core (HRSTC) category includes employees in science-based employment
who are not necessarily involved in research, so that the relatively strong presence of women in Figure 1.4 does not reveal the extent to which they are contributing directly to the research resource. More detailed analysis is therefore required in order to understand the differences in the way men and women utilise their education, and the factors which bring this about.

A narrower focus is provided by Figure 1.5, which presents the proportion of male and female scientists and engineers in the total labour force. In this analysis, 'scientists and engineers' refer to physical, mathematical, life science and health occupations the professional category only. That this is a highly specialised segment of the labour force is evident from the fact that all the figures are low. However, it is striking that for the majority of countries the presence of women scientists and engineers is noticeably lower than it is for men. Even the over-all EU figures of $1.4 \%$ for women and $3.3 \%$ for men, despite being boosted by parity or near-parity in Belgium, Estonia, Ireland, Portugal and by a higher percentage of women than men in Lithuania, show that women's presence is little more than one-third that of men. The scientists and engineers whose presence in the labour force is counted in Figure 1.5 are a subset of the HRSTC group counted in Figure 1.4. When taken together, therefore, the two tables confirm that the narrower focus on employment as natural scientists and engineers excludes a disproportionate number of women, which in turn supports the conclusions drawn from the overview analysis of Figure 1.1 at the beginning of this chapter.

[^3]The final set of figures in this chapter presents data for researchers, which is a broader base of subject-domains than those discussed so far, although the occupational function is defined more narrowly than human resources in science and technology. The 2003 snapshot of the proportion of researchers who are women (fig. 1.6) shows considerable variation between countries, but there is a clear pattern of female under-representation. When relating the number of male and female researchers to the total labour force, there is also a female under-representation in all countries except for Latvia and Bulgaria (fig. 1.8). More informative are the growth rates for the period 1999-2003 (fig. 1.7). Here we see that, for the EU as a whole, the rate of growth for women, at 4\%, is higher than that for men, at $2 \%$. While this is in general an encouraging trend, it must be remembered that, as the previous figure shows (fig. 1.7), the growth rate for women is on a smaller base than that for men. This differential growth rate, if merely sustained and not radically increased, would thus take a very long time to deliver a significantly improved gender balance.

The tables showing women's presence across the sectors ${ }^{5}$ of the economy also reveal some recurrent patterns of under-representation. However the average proportion of female researchers for the EU as a whole (fig. 1.9) has improved slightly since 1999 in all three sectors. The proportion of women in the business enterprise sector (BES) has increased to $18 \%$ in 2003 compared to $17 \%$ in 1999, and in the government sector (GOV) to $35 \%$ compared to $33 \%$ and for the higher education sector (HES) to $35 \%$ compared to $33 \%$.

Figure 1.10, which compares the distribution of male and female researchers across the sectors, shows that in most countries women are more likely than men to opt for employment in higher education and government sectors. These sectors are in contrast with the business and enterprise sector, which is more likely to be chosen by men. In all of these sectors, the growth rates for the period analysed (see figs 1.11 - 1.13) show that the rates are higher for women than for men, but the rates are modest and the differentials are not marked, so that the trends do not point to significant changes in the medium term. There is, in any case, considerable variation between countries, reflecting differences in the way national economies are structured and employment is defined. The gender imbalance in BES is a matter of particular concern, since this is the area in which more researchers are needed if the EU is to reach the Barcelona objective of $3 \%$ of GDP devoted to R\&D, given that two-thirds of this capacity should come from BES. The differences pose questions about why women are less likely to choose to be employed in the private sector, and whether there are initiatives that could be taken to promote an improved female presence within BES research.

ERRATUM She Figures 2006 (15/05/06)
This page replaces page 20 because the bar labels (Scientists \& Engineers and Total Employment) in Figure 1.1 have been mistakenly swapped.
Figure 1.1: Proportion of women in the EU-25 for total employment, tertiary educated and employed (HRSTC) and scientists \& engineers in 2004, growth rates for men and women 1998-2004


Figure 1.2: Proportion of female PhD (ISCED 6) graduates 2003


Source: Eurostat, Education statistics, Israel Central Bureau for Statistics \& Council for Higher Education, The Danish Institute for Studies in Research and Research Policy Data unavailable: EL, LU
Data less than 30 graduates: CY (1), MT (8), IS (6)
Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: LU, CY

Figure 1.3: Growth rates of PhD (ISCED 6) graduates by sex, 1999-2003


Source: Eurostat Education Statistics, Israel Central Bureau for Statistics \& Council for Higher Education,The Danish Institute for Studies in Research and Research Policy Exceptions to the reference years: IL: 1998-2000; SK: 1999-2002; BE, CY: 2000-2003
Data unavailable: EL, LU, RO
Growth rate not presented for countries with less than 30 graduates: MT (8), CY (1), IS (6)

Figure 1.4: Employed professionals and technicians (HRSTC) as a percentage of tertiary educated (HRSTE) by sex, 2004


Source: Eurostat Community Labour Force Data, EU-25 estimated by Eurostat
Exception to the reference year: NL: 2003
Break in series: AT, EL, IT, MT, PT
Provisional data: AT

Figure 1.5: Proportion of scientists and engineers in the total labour force ${ }^{1}$ by sex, 2004

force is defined as the sum of employed and unemployed persons.
Source: Eurostat Community Labour Force Data
Exception to the reference year: NO: 2002; DK, LV, NL: 2003
Data unavailable: MT, RO

Figure 1.6: Proportion of female researchers, 2003


Figure 1.7: Growth rates for researchers by sex, 1999-2003


Exceptions to the reference year: AT: 1998-2003; FI, TR: 1999-2002; NO: 1999-2003; LT, PL: 2000-2003; SK, JP: 2001-2003; BE, FR, IE: 2002-2003 Data provisional: IE (2003); Data estimated: SI (2003), SE
Data unavailable: DE, LU, MT, NL, SE, UK

Figure 1.8: Researchers per thousand labour force ${ }^{1}$ by sex, 2003

${ }^{1}$ The labour force is defined as the sum of employed and unemployed persons.
Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics; Community Labour Force Survey (LFS)
Exceptions to the reference year: CH, PL: 2000; AT, FI, TR: 2002;
Break in data series: IT; Provisional data: IE; Estimated data: SE, SI
Data unavailable: BE, ES, MT, UK

Figure 1.9: Proportion of female researchers by sector, 2003


Full time equivalent (FTE) instead of head count (HC): IL
Data estimated: SE

Figure 1.10: Distribution of researchers across sectors by sex, 2003


Figure 1.11: Growth rates for researchers in Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, 1999-2003


Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: UK: 1998-2000; AT, CH:1998-2002; FI, TR: 1999-2002; LT, LU: 2000-2003, IE, MT, SK: 2002-2003
Provisional data: BE (2002)
Data unavailable: DE, NL, PL
Data estimated: SE

Figure 1.12: Growth rates for researchers in Government Sector (GOV) by sex, 1999-2003

-20

Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: AT, CH, TR: 1998-2002; FR, FI: 1999-2002; LT, LU, PL: 2000-2003, NL, JP: 2001-2003; BE, IE, MT, SK, UK: 2002-2003
Growth rate not presented for countries with less than 30 researchers: MT (11)
Data unavailable: DE
Data estimated: SE

Figure 1.13: Growth rates for researchers in Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by sex, 1999-2003


Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: AT: 1998-2002; IS: 1999-2001; BE, ES, FI, TR: 1999-2002; FR, LT: 2000-2003; DE, IE, NL, JP: 2001-2003; SK: 2002-2003
Provisional data: BE (2002)
Data unavailable: LU, MT, PL, SE, UK
Data estimated: SE
High growth rate due to sharp increase in number of enterprises surveyed (23 to 191): LV

Scientific fields

## 2. Scientific fields

The analyses of the tables in chapter 1 identified gender differences in employment. These differences are explored in more detail in this chapter. Attention is paid to the horizontal segregation of male and female researchers by broad fields of science (here including humanities and social science, as well as the branches of 'science' more narrowly defined) in relation to the higher education (HES) and government (GOV) sectors and by economic activity in the business enterprise sector (BES). Unfortunately, horizontal segregation cannot be analysed for researchers in total sectors due to large data gaps; only data for 9 countries are available for the EU 25. As with chapter 1, the analysis begins with an examination of broad fields of study at PhD/Doctorate or equivalent (ISCED 6) level in order to assess the potential for women increasing their participation rates across the different fields in future employment.

## PhD graduates

Table 1.2 shows the proportion of women graduating at PhD/Doctorate or equivalent level (ISCED 6) in 2003, analysed by broad field of study. Figure 1.3 in chapter 1 shows that women's participation at this educational level had increased over-all in the period from 1999-2003 however, despite this general increase, there is a marked differentiation between subject areas. For the EU as a whole, women constitute $60.5 \%$ of education graduates at this level, followed by humanities and the arts, and health and welfare, where women are also in a majority, at $51.4 \%$ and $51.1 \%$ respectively.

The agriculture and veterinary field is close to this, at 49.6 \%, but there is then a drop to $43.1 \%$ for the social sciences, business and law and $40.0 \%$ for science, mathematics and computing. Engineering, manufacturing and construction comes far below this, with only $21.9 \%$ of graduates being women at PhD/Doctorate or equivalent level (table 2.1). Although the national figures vary, the low rate of women's participation in engineering, manufacturing and construction at this advanced educational level is notable in all countries, which suggests that it is a persistent situation.

Similar conclusions can be drawn from Figure 2.1, which shows the comparative distribution of male and female graduates across these same broad fields of study. Within the EU as a whole, women are more likely than men to choose education, humanities and the arts, health and welfare and agriculture and veterinary studies. In social sciences, business and law there is near-parity of choice. Men are more likely than women to opt for science, mathematics and computing, ( $30.5 \%$ men; $27.1 \%$ women), but it is engineering, manufacturing and construction that has the greatest differentiation of choice, with $18.5 \%$ of men graduating in this area, compared with $6.9 \%$ of women. Even in those countries where women generally choose their fields of study in broadly similar proportions to those of men, there is a marked gender difference for this field of study. Furthermore, since fewer women than men graduate at ISCED 6 level, the distribution percentages for women across the fields
represent lower absolute figures than corresponding percentages for men. This means that the visible presence of advanced women students across these fields relative to men is lower than the percentages superficially suggest. The data for distribution by field thus reinforce the conclusions already drawn in relation to Table 2.1: that the under-representation of women in this field is a universal feature. As Figure 2.1 shows, it is an imbalance that is replicated in such countries as Japan and the USA.

When the two broad S\&T fields of study are analysed in more detail (table 2.2), further discrepancies emerge. In the natural sciences (science, mathematics and computing), it is strikingly apparent that women commonly constitute more than $50 \%$, and sometimes more than $75 \%$, of PhD graduates in the life sciences. The EU average for this narrow field is thus $54.4 \%$, which contrasts sharply with physical science at $33.0 \%$, mathematics and statistics at $31.6 \%$, and computing at $18.6 \%$. Similarly, the breakdown for engineering, manufacturing and construction shows major differences between the three narrow fields, with manufacturing and processing, and architecture and building having the highest participation of women, at $32 \%$ and $31.3 \%$ respectively, and engineering and engineering trades being dramatically worse at $17.1 \%$. This figure demonstrates the value of a more detailed analysis of the available data, since it shows that analysis by broad field (as in the preceding figures for ISCED 6 graduates) masks significant variations within the subjects that make up those fields. While high level analyses may identify general areas of concern, it is clearly necessary to take the analysis to a more detailed level in order to uncover the specific areas where the sustainability of research capacity may be at risk at both the EU and Member States level, and where targeted
attention by policy-makers may be urgently needed. An improved gender-balance at this educational stage would greatly enrich the potential resource since it would tap into the half of the population that is currently severely under-represented in key areas.

These data-sets, presenting the gender-balance of highly qualified graduates as they reach the point of entry into employment, prompt two policy-related questions: whether there is anything that can be done to improve the gender-balance at the basic higher education level (ISCED 5) in order to support a better genderbalance at ISCED 6; and whether in particular there is anything that can be done to encourage a higher proportion of women engaged in the two broad fields of science, mathematics and computing, and engineering, manufacturing and construction to continue to the higher level of study (ISCED 6). Attention needs to be given to both of these areas at the educational level if the overall EU research capability is to be increased.

## Employment by fields of science within sectors

There are major gaps in the data on the participation of women by field of science within each of the three major employment sectors of higher education (HES), government (GOV) and business and enterprise (BES). Since the omissions include some of the countries which numerically provide a substantial part of the EU's research resource, systematic comparative analysis from country to country is not possible, and the EU averages in each data-set must be treated with caution. It is nevertheless striking that there is a consistent pattern, which agrees with the gendered distribution across fields that is indicated in chapter 1, and in the PhD/Doctorate or equivalent data, where the information is derived from a greater number of Member States.

The data in chapter 1 (fig. 1.9) demonstrated that in the EU as a whole female researchers were more likely than men to choose to work in higher education and that women constituted on average $35 \%$ of all researchers in HES. Yet, as can be seen in the breakdown by broad field in the present chapter (table 2.3), this masks major differences, with engineering and technology being the field in which women are very poorly represented, and with the natural sciences being only a little better. These two fields are all significantly outperformed in terms of women's representation by medical sciences, agricultural sciences, social sciences and humanities, although even here, on the basis of the available data, women constitute only just over one-third of researchers. The comparative analysis of women's and men's distribution across these fields (fig. 2.2) provides further evidence of gendered choice, with the discrepancy again being greatest in engineering and technology, followed by the natural sciences.

The government sector, in which women constitute $35 \%$ of all researchers, shows similar gendered patterns by broad field of science. The lowest proportion of female researchers is in engineering and technology ( $22.3 \%$ ), with the natural sciences next at $31.0 \%$ (table 2.4). Above these come the social and agricultural sciences at $44.3 \%$ and $43.1 \%$ respectively, with women achieving near-parity in the medical sciences (49.7\%), and being narrowly in the majority in the humanities, at $50.4 \%$. The relativities are confirmed by Figure 2.3, showing the comparative distribution of women and men in the government sector across the broad fields, with the greatest differences in the employment choices made by men and women being in engineering and technology.

There are major gaps in these data-sets, although, the general trend is in line with what the more robust data-sets indicate, the EU averages are not precise.

The broad fields for researchers in the business enterprise sector are categorised differently using the General Industrial Classification of Economic Activities (NACE) within the European Union as recommended by the Frascati Manual. They categorise businesses by their main economic activity and therefore do not necessarily tell us exactly what the researchers are doing and also makes it impossible to compare with the education data. Figures 1.9 and 1.10 in chapter 1, show that women are less likely than men to choose to work in BES, and they consequently constitute only $18 \%$ of researchers in this sector. In Table 2.5 we can see that although only $15.5 \%$ of researchers in manufacturing are women companies whose main activity is pharmaceuticals (NACE 24.4) have a high proportion of women ( $43,7 \%$ ) with 7 countries containing over $50 \%$ women researchers and 9 countries over $40 \%$. We can see that in real estate, renting and business activities (which also includes research and development) the proportion of women stand at $20.4 \%$ with one country (Latvia) boasting over $50 \%$ women at $51.1 \%$. The varying proportions of female researchers across each of the three economic areas (table 2.5), and the comparative data for men and women across the economic areas (fig. 2.4) suggest that a more comprehensive and penetrating analysis of the BES within Member States would be beneficial. This is especially so, given the complexity of the BES and its importance in driving forward the EU's knowledge economy, in particular for meeting the Barcelona objective of $3 \%$ GDP devoted to R\&D.

## Measuring horizontal segregation

Finally, as a means of measuring horizontal segregation, Table 2.6 provides the country-by-country and EU average Dissimilarity Index (DI) for researchers within HES and GOV. Within this index, the appearance of the value 0 would indicate that at that point there was an equal distribution of men and women. This is the minimum value within the index. The maximum value is 1 , and if that were to occur, it would indicate that, at that point, only men or only women were employed. Figures between 1 and 0 indicate the extent of the gender imbalance or, more precisely, the proportion of researchers that, hypothetically, would need to move out of the field in order to create a balanced gender distribution. Whether it would be men or women who would have to make the hypothetical shift would depend on which group predominated. In order to discover the gender predominance that lies behind each DI number in the present table, one needs to consult Figure 1.9 for HES and GOV (Proportion of female researchers by sector, 2003).

Thus, to take Germany as an example: bearing in mind that we know female researchers are a minority in HES within Germany ( $25 \%$ as shown in fig. 1.9), the HES dissimilarity index for Germany of 0.21 shows that $21 \%$ of male researchers would need to change field in order to equalise the presence of men and women, on the basis of the present female numbers. This is, of course, a purely hypothetical scenario, but the calculation that lies behind the DI is a means of producing a consistent indicator of difference which allows for systematic comparison across sectors and countries. There are major gaps in the data available here, but the index confirms the extent of the gender difference across sectors and throughout the EU. There are only a few Dls that are less than 0.1 ( $10 \%$ hypothetical movement needed); most are in excess of 0.20 ( $20 \%$ hypothetical movement, or greater).

## Dissimilarity Index

The Dissimilarity Index (DI) provides a theoretical measurement of the percentage of women and men in a group who would have to move to another occupation to ensure that the proportions of women were the same across all the possible occupations. It can therefore be interpreted as the hypothetical distance from a balanced gender distribution across occupations, based upon the overriding proportion of women (NSF, 2000). The formula for the Dissimilarity Index is:
$D I=1 / 2 \Sigma_{i}\left|F_{i} / F-M_{i} / M\right|$
where: i denotes each occupation
$F_{i}$ is the number of women researchers in each occupation
$M_{i}$ is the number of men researchers in each occupation
$F$ is the total number of women researchers across all occupations
M is the total number of men researchers across all occupations.
II indicates that the absolute value is taken, but not the sign.
For example, if we have three occupations, A, B and C with 17, 37 and 91 women and 108, 74, 182 men respectively, the overall proportion of women is $28.5 \%$. We therefore need to calculate:

```
\(|17-108|+|37-74|+|91-182|\)
\(\frac{|145364||145364||145364|}{2}=\frac{0.1795+0.0519+0.1276}{2}=0.1795\)
```

This means that $18 \%$ of researchers will have to change occupation in order to maintain the background proportion of $28.5 \%$ women in each occupation.

In order to interpret the DI correctly, it is important to know which gender is in the majority overall. The maximum value is 1 , which indicates the presence of only either women or men in each of the occupations, depending on the majority gender. The minimum value of 0 indicates a distribution between women and men within each occupation which is equal to the overall average proportion of women. If the same occupational categories are used for different countries, the DI yields a comparable and descriptive statistic that reflects the extent to which the two sexes are differently distributed. The results also depend on the number of categories. If more categories are used, the indicator will reflect greater variability in the distribution, which in turn will yield results indicating a higher level of segregation.

Table 2.1: Proportion of female PhD (ISCED 6) graduates by broad field of study, 2003

|  | EDUCATION | HUMANITIES \& ARTS | SOCIAL SCIENCES, BUSINESS \& LAW | SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS \& COMPUTING | ENGINEERING, MANUFACTURING \& CONSTRUCTION | AGRICULTURE <br> \& VETERINARY | HEALTH \& WELFARE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 60.5 | 51.4 | 43.1 | 40.0 | 21.9 | 49.6 | 51.1 |
| Austria | 65.3 | 47.5 | 43.4 | 30.2 | 18.9 | 65.9 | 72.0 |
| Belgium | 43.8 | 42.2 | 36.1 | 33.1 | 14.3 | 30.6 | 45.4 |
| Cyprus | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - |
| Czech Republic | 66.7 | 48.6 | 40.0 | 36.7 | 22.3 | 36.7 | 42.2 |
| Denmark | - | 46.9 | 38.6 | 33.6 | 23.8 | 52.4 | 54.0 |
| Estonia | 50.0 | 58.8 | 62.5 | 21.9 | 17.6 | 37.5 | 72.5 |
| Finland | 66.7 | 57.9 | 51.6 | 43.1 | 25.5 | 41.7 | 64.3 |
| France | 49.0 | 55.5 | 41.4 | 38.4 | 25.9 | 55.6 | 56.1 |
| Germany | 52.6 | 49.5 | 34.5 | 29.9 | 11.4 | 54.3 | 47.7 |
| Greece | : |  |  |  | : | : |  |
| Hungary | 59.5 | 56.4 | 35.9 | 37.5 | 29.7 | 32.0 | 43.3 |
| Ireland | 50.0 | 53.1 | 47.2 | 56.6 | 31.2 | 31.6 | 50.7 |
| Italy | 72.5 | 58.5 | 50.4 | 54.0 | 31.2 | 54.4 | 61.5 |
| Latvia | 83.3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 57.1 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | - | 78.9 | 63.9 | 47.2 | 43.9 | 50.0 | 68.3 |
| Luxembourg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Malta | 100.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Netherlands | - | 43.8 | 48.5 | 40.1 | 19.9 | 44.3 | 48.7 |
| Poland | : | 51.6 | 42.7 | 50.1 | 24.1 | 53.8 | 47.2 |
| Portugal | 65.4 | 66.8 | 53.9 | 58.1 | 34.1 | 64.6 | 63.3 |
| Slovakia | 72.4 | 46.2 | 49.6 | 57.3 | 26.2 | 32.1 | 71.3 |
| Slovenia | 60.0 | 63.0 | 37.7 | 44.8 | 19.3 | 36.4 | 52.0 |
| Spain | 59.2 | 48.6 | 47.3 | 46.6 | 21.3 | 41.2 | 49.3 |
| Sweden | 64.0 | 53.2 | 48.3 | 34.8 | 26.4 | 50.7 | 59.4 |
| United Kingdom | 53.6 | 45.9 | 42.2 | 41.9 | 19.6 | 45.2 | 52.4 |
| Bulgaria | 52.2 | 68.4 | 50.0 | 52.8 | 31.4 | 46.4 | 56.4 |
| Iceland | - | 50.0 | - | 0.0 | - | - | 33.3 |
| Israel | 73.8 | 51.4 | 51.6 | 44.0 | 25.0 | 45.5 | 55.6 |
| Norway | 60.0 | 33.7 | 49.5 | 40.7 | 22.8 | 46.9 | 46.9 |
| Romania | - | 58.9 | 46.6 | 57.6 | 38.3 | 36.6 | 66.5 |
| Switzerland | 54.2 | 44.7 | 28.9 | 29.4 | 15.2 | 57.5 | 47.7 |
| Turkey | 35.3 | 29.2 | 29.7 | 34.8 | 28.9 | 39.8 | 62.6 |
| Japan | 48.6 | 48.7 | 32.4 | 19.9 | 9.2 | 24.7 | 25.7 |
| United States | 66.0 | 45.7 | 55.9 | 35.5 | 18.0 | 36.8 | 68.5 |

Source: Eurostat Education statistics, Israel Central Bureau of Statistics and the Council for Higher Education Exceptions to the reference year: IL: 2000
Data unavailable: EL
Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: LU, CY
Countries with small numbers: CY (1), MT (8), IS (6)

Figure 2.1: Distribution of PhD (ISCED6) graduates across the broad fields of study by sex, 2003


Source: Eurostat Education statistics, Israel Central Bureau of Statistics and the Council for Higher Education
Exceptions to the reference year: IL: 2000
Data unavailable: EL.
Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: LU, CY
Countries with small numbers: CY (1), MT (8), IS (6)

Table 2.2: Proportion of female PhD (ISCED6) graduates by narrow field of study in natural science and engineering (400 \& 500 fields), 2003

|  | Science, Mathematics \& Computing |  |  |  | Engineering, Manufacturing \& Construction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LIFE SCIENCE | PHYSICAL SCIENCE | MATHEMATICS \& STATISTICS | COMPUTING | ENGINEERING \& ENGINEERING TRADES | MANUFACTURING \& PROCESSING | ARCHITECTURE \& BUILDING |
| EU-25 | 54.4 | 33.0 | 31.6 | 18.6 | 17.1 | 32.0 | 31.3 |
| Austria | 50.3 | 21.8 | 24.4 | 9.5 | 16.1 | 36.4 | 20.0 |
| Belgium | 40.3 | 29.8 | 35.4 | 3.2 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 21.4 |
| Cyprus | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Czech Republic | 50.9 | 28.6 | 31.7 | 10.3 | 19.9 | 47,6 | 25.8 |
| Denmark | 33.6 | - | - | - | 23.8 | - | - |
| Estonia | 28.6 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Finland | 62.0 | 39.3 | 34.3 | 13.9 | 23.6 | 42.9 | 34.4 |
| France | 53.4 | 34.3 | 24.3 | 18.8 | 22.8 | 37.7 | 27.8 |
| Germany | 46.7 | 22.8 | 27.9 | 11.9 | 6.8 | 24.2 | 22.3 |
| Hungary | 43.0 | 37.4 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 33.3 | 32.1 | 16.7 |
| Ireland | 60.2 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 24.1 | 58.8 | 0.0 |
| Italy | 72.4 | 45.2 | 42.4 | 25.0 | 13.5 | 25.6 | 48.9 |
| Latvia | 66.7 | 0.0 | - | 66.7 | 41.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 88.9 | 28.6 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 44.1 | - | 42.9 |
| Netherlands | - | 39.9 | - | - | 18.0 | - | - |
| Portugal | 73.0 | 56.7 | 58.3 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 51.6 | 42.6 |
| Slovakia | 71.4 | 48.9 | 46.2 | 20.0 | 23.9 | 26.9 | 33.3 |
| Slovenia | 65.0 | 34.5 | 20.0 | 15.4 | 10.4 | 28.6 | 57.1 |
| Spain | 54.5 | 46.8 | 40.4 | 22.8 | 16.0 | 62.9 | 24.0 |
| Sweden | 51.7 | 32.4 | 16.0 | 21.6 | 24.1 | 32.7 | 39.3 |
| United Kingdom | 56.6 | 32.6 | 24.1 | 23.3 | 16.2 | 33.4 | 21.3 |
| Bulgaria | 77.8 | 49.2 | 30.0 | - | 33.3 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
| Norway | - | 0.0 | - | - | 13.3 | - | 20.0 |
| Romania | 57.6 | : | : | : | 37.5 | - | 42.9 |
| Switzerland | 42.6 | 23.3 | 22.2 | 7.5 | 16.9 | - | 5.0 |
| Turkey | 54.1 | 31.7 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 14.7 | 42.5 | 39.8 |
| United States | 45.7 | 27.7 | 27.0 | 21.0 | 17.2 | - | 45.7 |

Source: Eurostat Education statistics
Exceptions to the reference year: NL, NO: 2002
Data unavailable: EL, PL, IL
Most tertiary students study abroad and are not included: LU, CY
Countries with small numbers:
400: CY (1); EE (32); IS (2); LV (7); LT (36); NO (2)
500: CY (0); EE (7); HU (37); IS (0); LV (16); LT (41); MT (0); NO (25)

Table 2.3: Proportion of female researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) by field of science, 2003

|  | NATURAL SCIENCES | ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY | MEDICAL SCIENCES | AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOCIAL } \\ & \text { SCIENCES } \end{aligned}$ | HUMANITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 29.1 | 21.3 | 39.9 | 39.7 | 39.3 | 38.3 |
| Austria | 21.6 | 12.6 | 35.8 | 40.9 | 36.3 | 42.8 |
| Cyprus | 27.8 | 13.3 | . | - | 34.4 | 43.9 |
| Czech Republic | 26.4 | 23.6 | 40.3 | 40.7 | 41.7 | 35.4 |
| Denmark | 23.4 | 14.4 | 36 v | 46.1 | 30.4 | 38.3 |
| Estonia | 34.4 | 29.9 | 61.5 | 42.3 | 54.5 | 63.6 |
| Germany | 17.7 | 11.5 | 34.0 | 30.5 | 29.8 | 29.8 |
| Hungary | 28.4 | 18.0 | 45.3 | 31.3 | 37.5 | 47.8 |
| Ireland | 39.1 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 39.4 | 37.5 | 42.9 |
| Latvia | 43.6 | 29.9 | 62.4 | 42.3 | 59.1 | 85.4 |
| Lithuania | 47.2 | 27.5 | 70.4 | 47.5 | 53.8 | 52.5 |
| Luxembourg | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | - | 37.5 | 66.7 |
| Malta | 15.8 | 10.1 | 37.4 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 28.3 |
| Norway | 26.2 | 17.5 | 46.8 | 39.4 | 40.8 | 41.9 |
| Portugal | 49.2 | 29.5 | 52.7 | 47.0 | 49.7 | 49.6 |
| Poland | 38.0 | 19.2 | 53.6 | 49.7 | 46.0 | 45.0 |
| Slovakia | 36.4 | 32.3 | 51.7 | 39.6 | 51.8 | 49.7 |
| Slovenia | 24.9 | 17.1 | 57.4 | 40.0 | 43.2 | 42.8 |
| Spain | 38.2 | 33.0 | 40.3 | 37.7 | 39.0 | 39.0 |
| Sweden | 27.6 | 17.3 | 43.0 | 37.8 | 40.6 | 41.0 |
| Bulgaria | 55.0 | 24.4 | 55.6 | 35.9 | 40.1 | 52.4 |
| Iceland | 35.4 | 44.8 | 45.1 | 35.9 | 47.0 | 41.3 |
| Romania | 47.3 | 36.2 | 51.8 | 46.6 | 40.5 | 41.5 |
| Turkey | 37.4 | 33.8 | 39.2 | 34.5 | 37.5 | 36.6 |

Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics, EU-25 calculated by DG Research Exceptions to the reference year: LU, SE: 2001; AT, SI, TR: 2002 Data unavailable: BE, EL, FR, IT, NL, FI, UK, CH Estimated data: LT, IE, SE

Figure 2.2: Distribution of researchers in the Higher Education Sector (HES) across fields of science, 2003


Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: LU, SE: 2001; AT, SI, TR: 2002
No data: BE, EL, FR, IT, NL, FI, UK
Estimated data: 1 TT, IE, SE

Table 2.4: Proportion of female researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) by field of science, 2003

|  | NATURAL SCIENCES | ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY | MEDICAL SCIENCES | AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOCIAL } \\ & \text { SCIENCES } \end{aligned}$ | HUMANITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 31.0 | 22.3 | 49.7 | 43.1 | 44.3 | 50.4 |
| Austria | 22.0 | 26.0 | 38.7 | 26.4 | 41.5 | 44.8 |
| Croatia | 43.5 | 14.3 | 47.2 | 29.1 | 48.2 | 54.3 |
| Cyprus | 53.8 | 37.5 | 31.6 | 17.5 | 55.2 | 45.0 |
| Czech Republic | 30.5 | 14.5 | 48.1 | 43.4 | 43.7 | 47.1 |
| Denmark | 27.7 | 22.7 | 44.0 | 41.3 | 34.7 | 44.2 |
| Estonia | 43.4 | 28.9 | 72.3 | 51.1 | 40.0 | 73.5 |
| Germany | 24.3 | 16.6 | 42.0 | 35.2 | 40.9 | 46.9 |
| Hungary | 27.2 | 19.1 | 65.2 | 42.4 | 36.3 | 53.6 |
| Ireland | 26.5 | 7.1 | 51.4 | 31.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvia | 57.9 | 18.6 | 52.6 | 50.0 | 68.3 | 68.8 |
| Lithuania | 43.9 | 26.5 | 55.0 | 60.2 | 69.3 | 68.5 |
| Luxembourg | 30.5 | 16.2 | 48.6 | - | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| Malta | - | 0.0 | - | 11.8 | 50.0 | 28.6 |
| Poland | 40.5 | 25.0 | 63.7 | 48.8 | 47.1 | 55.8 |
| Portugal | 61.6 | 36.9 | 59.2 | 56.6 | 67.2 | 65.4 |
| Slovakia | 40.6 | 28.3 | 56.7 | 51.0 | 51.4 | 74.6 |
| Slovenia | 36.9 | 33.6 | 47.3 | 34.4 | 52.8 | 34.6 |
| Spain | 41.3 | 38.8 | 46.7 | 46.9 | 40.5 | 47.8 |
| Bulgaria | 51.6 | 34.3 | 51.2 | 52.7 | 59.3 | 66.2 |
| Iceland | 26.2 | 40.8 | 50.3 | 25.5 | 49.3 | 51.5 |
| Norway | 27.9 | 17.2 | 48.8 | 36.5 | 42.0 | 47.6 |
| Romania | 48.0 | 44.3 | 65.8 | 29.0 | 59.8 | 42.5 |

Figure 2.3: Distribution of researchers in the Government Sector (GOV) across fields of science, 2003


Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: AT, SI: 2002
No data: BE, EL, FR, IT, NL, FI, SE, UK, TR, CH

Table 2.5: Proportion of female researchers by economic activity (NACE) in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES), 2003

|  | TOTAL <br> MANUFACTURING - D (INCLUDING 24) | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACE CODE } \\ 24.4- \\ \text { PHARMACEUTICALS } \end{gathered}$ | NACE CODE 24 (-24.4) CHEMICALS AND CHEMICAL PRODUCTS (LESS PHARMACEUTICALS) | NACE CODE 24 MANUFACTURE OF CHEMICALS AND CHEMICAL PRODUCTS | REAL ESTATE, RENTING AND BUSINESS ACTIVITIES - K | OTHER NACE CODES (EXCEPT K \& D) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 15.5 | 43.7 | 23.6 | 34.9 | 20.4 | 24.0 |
| Austria | 7.7 | 41.4 | 18.6 | 31.7 | 15.3 | 14.2 |
| Belgium | 22.5 | 46.5 | 28.7 | 38.8 | 12.3 | 19.7 |
| Cyprus | 27.5 | 45.2 | 23.3 | 34.4 | 17.1 | 18.3 |
| Czech Republic | 12.7 | 43.4 | 25.8 | 31.8 | 19.6 | 33.6 |
| Denmark | 24.4 | 46.1 | 30.8 | 42.9 | 21.7 | 33.9 |
| Estonia | 25.9 | c | C | 59.6 | 17.6 | 29.8 |
| Finland | 22.6 | : | : | 36.5 | 19.1 | 21.8 |
| Germany | 11.2 | 37.1 | 20.2 | 27.5 | 14.0 | 14.0 |
| Greece | 45.9 | 37.5 | : | : | 18.7 | 36.0 |
| Hungary | 27.0 | 53.7 | 35.5 | 49.9 | 20.9 | 19.8 |
| Ireland | 21.2 | 40.8 | 30.8 | 39.1 | 19.4 | 17.4 |
| Italy | 16.4 | 49.1 | 24.1 | 38.3 | 24.3 | 23.1 |
| Latvia | 63.3 | : | : | 83.2 | 51.1 | 44.4 |
| Lithuania | 41.7 | : | : | 54.4 | 27.2 | 12.5 |
| Luxembourg | 16.8 | : | : | : | 7.9 | 18.4 |
| Netherlands | 7.2 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 13.2 |
| Portugal | 27.1 | c | c | : | 26.1 | 39.3 |
| Slovakia | 21.5 | C | C | 54.0 | 34.9 | 30.7 |
| Slovenia | 28.6 | 65.9 | 47.1 | 59.7 | 31.1 | 24.2 |
| Spain | 22.4 | 51.0 | 32.6 | 42.2 | 31.8 | 25.6 |
| Sweden | 24.2 | 52.1 | 40.0 | 49.4 | 23.0 | 44.1 |
| Bulgaria | 58.4 | 76.1 | 65.8 | 74.5 | 29.4 | 49.5 |
| Iceland | 26.7 | 41.4 | 28.6 | - | 35.1 | 28.4 |
| Norway | 18.0 | 55.8 | 31.5 | 42.1 | 18.3 | 22.4 |
| Romania | 39.6 | : | : | 68.4 | 35.8 | 49.8 |
| Turkey | 22.2 | 69.3 | 39.3 | 52.3 | 34.0 | 30.6 |

Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics (except IS \& FI - DG RTD, WiS database), EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: AT, FI, SI, TR: 2002; IS 2001
Data unavailable: FR, MT, PL, UK, CH
C: confidential data
Data estimated: SE

Figure 2.4: Distribution of researchers across economic activities (NACE) in the Business Enterprise Sector (BES), 2003


Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics (except IS \& FI-DG RTD, WiS database), EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: AT, FI, SI, TR: 2002; IS 2001;
Data unavailable: FR, MT, PL, UK, CH
Data estimated: SE

Table 2.6: Dissimilarity index for researchers in Higher Education Sector (HES) and Government Sector (GOV), 2003

|  | Dissimilarity Index HES (DI) | Dissimilarity Index GOV (DI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 0.14 | 0.21 |
| Austria | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| Cyprus | 0.15 | 0.31 |
| Czech Republic | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| Denmark | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Estonia | 0.25 | 0.32 |
| Germany | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Hungary | 0.20 | 0.26 |
| Ireland | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| Lithuania | 0.19 | 0.24 |
| Luxembourg | 0.41 | 0.19 |
| Malta | 0.22 | 0.44 |
| Poland | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| Portugal | 0.12 | 0.10 |
| Slovakia | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Slovenia | 0.27 | 0.13 |
| Spain | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Sweden | 0.19 | : |
| Bulgaria | 0.23 | 0.12 |
| Iceland | 0.07 | 0.19 |
| Norway | 0.17 | 0.18 |
| Romania | 0.14 | 0.13 |
| Turkey | 0.03 | : |

Seniority

## 3. Seniority

Chapters 1 and 2 revealed the extent to which women are under-represented in the levels and types of employment considered in the She Figures. In particular, the chapters highlighted the fact that there are marked gender differences in choice of field and sector of employment. In themselves these horizontal gender imbalances give rise to questions about the long-term viability of EU aspirations for R\&D and the societal disadvantages of a gender-biased research environment. The questions increase in urgency when the vertical dimension is considered. This is the focus of chapters 3 and 4.

The vertical dimension of patterns of employment - that is, the relative distribution of women and men at the different levels of seniority within the employment hierarchy - is crucially important, since it is at the senior levels that decisions are made and leadership is exercised in defining and carrying forward the research agenda. In addition, the opportunities for women of equal ability with men to be promoted to senior posts at a proportionately commensurate rate is also a major element in defining career attractiveness for women leaving higher education and making long-term choices about field of employment. The distribution of women throughout the vertical axis of employment thus affects the present and influences the future.

At present, the data on seniority within academia (HES) is more robust than for the government (GOV) or business and enterprise (BES) sectors. There are several reasons for this, but chief among them is the relative consistency of academic employment grades
across the Member States. The terminology used varies considerably from country to country but, despite this, it is possible to collect data on the basis of shared definitions within broad bands of qualification and responsibility in ways that cannot yet be consistently achieved for employment in GOV and BES. This chapter will consequently examine HES in more detail than GOV and BES, taking grade A as the marker of 'seniority', broadly corresponding to 'full professor' or the highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted. It should be remembered, however, that chapters 1 and 2 demonstrated that women are better represented in HES than in some other sectors of European research. The vertical segregation that is evident in HES may thus be even more pronounced elsewhere. There is consequently a pressing need for systematic data collection, since this is essential for understanding employment patterns and for developing targeted policy interventions to improve the participation of women at the more senior levels.

## Seniority in academia (HES)

The "scissors" diagram (fig.3.1) graphically illustrates the way in which the gender gap changes throughout the stages of an academic career, beginning with studying at the basic level of higher education (ISCED 5A) through to the senior level of grade A, equivalent to a full professor in most countries. Figures are plotted for 1999 and 2003. Although the proportion of women has increased slightly at all stages on the graph, the pattern remains constant. Women students are in the majority in higher
education at ISCED 5A level and by graduation have increased their lead over men. But for registered students at PhD and equivalent level (ISCED 6), the male/female relativities are reversed, and thereafter women's proportional participation continues to decline, with the divergence from men increasing quite dramatically at grade B and again at grade A. It should be noted, however, that the graph encompasses all academic disciplines, and so, by including those where women are relatively well represented, it masks the more striking divergences in those fields where women are less well represented from the outset.

That the picture is indeed vastly different in the natural sciences and engineering is graphically demonstrated by the next figure (fig.3.2), which provides the same range of data, but for these two broad fields only. The preceding chapters have shown that these are the fields in which women are seriously under-represented. The graph provides a visual confirmation of this, and it also shows how extreme the gender gap is in these fields at the more senior levels. The graph further demonstrates that, despite the increase in the percentage of women between 1999 and 2003, the gender differences are so persistent that they will not self-correct in the foreseeable future. Policy intervention is thus essential, although, if it is to be well focused and effectively implemented, it will be necessary at Member State level for there to be a detailed understanding of the differential male and female participation across the subjects that make up these broad fields, and of the social, institutional and personal circumstances that affect the retention and advancement of women relative to men over the full career trajectory.

The overview provided by the scissors diagram is presented in more detail in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.3, which respectively show, country by country, the proportion of female academic staff by grade, and the percentage of grade A academic staff by sex. In the EU as a whole, women at grade A constitute only $6 \%$ of academic staff, by contrast with men who constitute $18 \%$, and there are many countries where the discrepancy is even greater than this. If senior women are, on average, $6 \%$ of academic staff, and commonly rather less than that, they will be far less evident to other staff than are senior men who, in the majority of Member States, are more than three times as visible. Women are such a small proportion that they will either be very thinly scattered across the institution, or will be concentrated in particular areas, and absent - or nearly so - from others. This pattern of presence (or absence) creates the impression of a male-norm for seniority, and the fact of male-dominated decision making and leadership, either or both of which may affect women's progress through the hierarchy.

The pattern revealed so far is very clear, but it is difficult, faced with these data, to make comparative assessments of the proportion of women at grade A relative to their presence in the total employed group from which they are drawn. The Glass Ceiling Index (fig. 3.4) represents this relativity by one number for each country, arrived at by dividing the proportion of women in grades $A, B$ and $C$ by the proportion of women in grade $A^{6}$. If the value of the resulting number is 1 , women are present in grade A in a proportion that is precisely commensurate with their presence in the employed group as a whole.

## Glass Ceiling Index

The Glass Ceiling Index (GCI) is an indicator that measures the relative chance for women compared to men of reaching a top position. In this publication the GCI compares the proportion of women in Grade A positions (equivalent to Full Professors in most countries) to the proportion of women in academia (Grade $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C})^{1}$, indicating the opportunity, or lack of, for women to move up the hierarchical structure in their profession.

The formula for the Glass Ceiling Index is:
$\mathrm{GCl}=$ $\qquad$
Pa

## where:

$P=$ Proportion of women in grade $A+B+C$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ Proportion of women in grade A

The value runs from 0 to infinity. A GCI of 1 indicates that there is no difference between women and men being promoted. A score of less than 1 means that women are over-represented and a GCI score of more than 1 indicates a glass ceiling effect showing that women are under-represented in grade A positions. In other words, the interpretation of the GCl is that the higher the value the thicker the glass ceiling and the more difficult it is for women to move into a higher position.

[^4]They are proportionately over-represented at grade A if the number is below 1 ; and they are proportionately under-represented if it is above 1 . Numbers above 1 represent the so-called Glass Ceiling, with the 'ceiling' being 'thicker' as the number is greater. The Glass Ceiling Index (GCI) in Figure 3.4 has no numbers at 1 although Romania and Turkey are closest with 1.1 and 1.4 respectively. Of the 23 EU countries reported, only 7 countries (Spain, Italy, Germany at 1.9, Finland, Portugal, Poland at 1.8 and Belgium at 1.7) have figures just below 2, although even these point to a substantial glass ceiling effect, which is in fact considerably stronger in other Member States. It is also important to remember that the GCl measures women's seniority relative to women's presence in the pipeline, so that women's under-representation in relation to men remains an issue in employment, even if women achieve a proportional presence at senior level commensurate with the proportion of their presence at all grades.

Over and above the larger question of how we increase the absolute numbers of women within that population as a whole, what the GCl powerfully shows is that women are not being utilised to the full even within the current employment population. This, then, prompts a set of policy questions which are different from, but complementary to, those posed in the previous chapters: why are women not progressing to senior posts? And what can be done to overcome the social, cultural, institutional and personal obstacles that are identified? If these questions could be answered and effective policy measures be put in place, not only would there be a better gender-balance in defining and carrying forward the research agenda, but career paths would seem more attractive to younger women, so that the participation rate of women overall might also be improved.

In discussing the gender gaps revealed by the scissors diagram above, it was noted that the proportions used were for all academic disciplines taken together, including those in which women are relatively well represented. These comprehensive data are also the bases for subsequent tables up to and including the Glass Ceiling Index. As the data in chapter 2 made plain, however, it is essential to take the analysis to a more detailed level in order to see the pattern of gender differences across subject groups. Table 3.2 and Figure 3.5 take this approach for grade A staff. The proportion of female grade A staff, analysed by main field, show that, within the EU as a whole, women are in senior posts within the humanities to a far greater degree (23.9\%) than for any other field, although this is still less than one in four. In all other fields the proportion is less than $20 \%$; and in engineering and technology it is as low as $5.8 \%$, or around one woman for seventeen staff. This is broadly in accord with the patterns identified in chapter 2, in that humanities is a strong area for women in relative terms and engineering and technology is one where they are consistently poorly represented.

In particular, it is instructive to compare Table 2.3 in chapter 2 (showing the proportion of female researchers in the HES by field of science), with Table 3.2 in this chapter (showing the proportion of female grade A staff by main field of science). Although there is a drop in all fields between the proportion of researchers who are women and the proportion of grade A staff who are women, the drop is greater in the field where women are least well represented: engineering and technology. It is, of course, necessary to take into account the time-lag in building up an academic reputation that will result in promotion to the senior grade.

Women now at senior levels entered academia when, generally across Europe, the higher education sector was smaller than it is now. The demography of student intake, advanced study, and employment choice and progression was also shaped by different social, educational and professional contexts from those that currently prevail. It is undeniable that the patterns of seniority in the HES are strongly gendered, to the extent that they call for further analysis and policy intervention at Member State level.

Further indicative evidence to support such a step is provided by Figure 3.5, which shows the distribution of grade A staff across the broad fields by sex. Despite the gaps and some obvious anomalies (e.g. data for the UK, which are at odds with other data-sets in the She Figures), the distribution is clearly gendered. Engineering and technology and humanities show a strong gender pattern that has been borne out in previous chapters. The distribution of men and women in engineering and technology indicate a large gap between the sexes with women at $6.4 \%$ and men at $16.5 \%$ and the opposite gap, though not quite as large in humanities with women at $27.6 \%$ and men at $17.0 \%$. Other fields of science where the distribution of women is higher than that of men are medical science and social science. In agricultural science men and women are evenly distributed at $4.2 \%$ and $4.3 \%$ respectively.

## Seniority in R\&D

Further indicative data is available by comparing the proportions of men and women in R\&D, taking as the hierarchical markers the three descending occupation categories of 'researchers', 'technicians' and 'other'. These data are presented in turn for higher education (HES: fig. 3.6), government (GOV: fig. 3.7) and business enterprise (BES: fig. 3.8). There are data gaps in each of the figures and some variation between countries, as one would expect given the differences in the ways that national economies are structured, but some recurrent patterns are nevertheless apparent. A smaller proportion of the female cohort is employed at the researcher level than is the case with the male cohort; and yet at the two lower levels of 'technician' and 'other', the situation is reversed: a larger proportion of the female cohort is employed in each of these occupational levels than is the case with the male cohort. The distributions vary across sectors, but the pattern is evident for HES, GOV and BES. A similar pattern was noted in She Figures 2003, where the conclusion was reached, on the basis of the HRST data, that this was not due to gender differences in qualification. That being so, we must pose again the questions that were posed in 2003. Firstly, are women opting for occupations for which they are in fact over-qualified, perhaps as a trade-off that enables them to juggle work-life balance? Secondly, does the presence of women as technicians have a positive impact on the numbers of researchers - that is, can we see the evidence of women coming up through the ranks of R\&D, or is the technician category a closed cohort in which women remain in the more auxiliary role? The questions point to the need for further analysis, both quantitative and qualitative, in order to inform future policy and support the enhancement of the EU's capacity in R\&D.

Figure 3.1: Proportions of men and women in a typical academic career, students and academic staff, EU-25, 1999-2003


Source: Eurostat Education data, DG Research, WiS database seniority Grades.

2003
ISCED5A Students:
Data unavailable: FR
Exceptions to the reference year: LU: 1999; EL: 2002

## ISCED6 Students:

Data unavailable: FR, LU, DE, SI
Exceptions to the reference year: EL: 2002
Grade C, B, A:
Data unavailable: IE, LU
Exceptions to the reference year: CY: 2002; FR, PT: 2001; EL: 2000
NL: FTE; SI: Data estimated; FR: Grade C unavailable

## 1999

ISCED 5A Students:
Exceptions to the reference year: BE, PT: 2000; EL: 2002. Data unavaible: FR Exceptions to the reference year: PT: 1998; BE: 2000; CY: 2001; EL: 2002

ISCED 6 Students:
Data unavailable: DE, FR, LU, SI
Grade C: Data unavailable: FR, Exceptions to the reference year: AT: 1998; PL: 2000; FTE: NL, BE (FR)
Grade B: Exceptions to the reference year: AT: 1998; FR, PL: 2000; FTE: NL Grade A: Exceptions to the reference year: AT:1998; FR, PL: 2000; FTE: NL

Figure 3.2: Proportions of men and women in a typical academic career in science and engineering, students and academic staff, EU-25, 1999-2003


Definition of grades:
A: The single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted
B: Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position (A) but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders
C: The first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD (ISCED6) graduate would normally be recruited

ISCED 5A: Tertiary programmes to provide sufficient qualifications to enter into advanced research programmes \& professions with high skills requirements
ISCED 6: Tertiary programmes which lead to an advanced research qualification (PhD)

SET fields of education $=400$ Science, maths and computing +500 Engineering, manufacturing and construction SET fields of science $=$ Engineering and Technology + Natural Sciences

Source: Eurostat Education data, DG Research, WiS database seniority Grades.
5a \& 6 Students:
Exceptions to the reference year 1999: BE: 2000; Data unavailable FR
Grade A \& B:
Exceptions to the reference year 2003: AT, CY: 2002; FR: 2001; LV: 2000 Exceptions to the reference year 1999: BE, CZ: 2002; CY, FR, LV, PL : 2000; AT: 1998

## Grade C:

Exceptions to the reference year 2003: AT, CY: 2002
Exceptions to the reference year 1999: BE, CZ: 2002; CY, PL: 2000; AT: 1998
Grade C unavailable: FR, LV
All grades: Data unavailable: EE, EL, ES, HU, IE, LT, LU
FTE instead of HC: NL

Table 3.1: Proportion of female academic staff by grade and total, 2004

|  | GRADE A | GRADE B | GRADE C | GRADE D | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 15.3 | 32.2 | 42.0 | 43.3 | 36.4 |
| Austria | 9.5 | 16.2 | 35.6 | 37.9 | 29.7 |
| Belgium | 9.0 | 20.7 | 33.1 | 46.6 | 32.7 |
| Cyprus | 10.2 | 17.2 | 37.5 | 33.5 | 31.0 |
| Czech Republic | 10.3 | 22.1 | 40.2 | 48.8 | 34.0 |
| Denmark | 10.9 | 24.4 | 37.6 | 42.7 | 31.8 |
| Estonia | 17.2 | 37.1 | 56.6 | 66.6 | 49.2 |
| Finland | 21.2 | 46.6 | 52.9 | 42.8 | 40.9 |
| France | 16.1 | 38.7 | : | 39.3 | 32.9 |
| Germany | 9.2 | 16.1 | 25.9 | 35.6 | 29.2 |
| Greece | 11.3 | 22.7 | 31.9 | 39.4 | 29.0 |
| Hungary | 15.4 | 30.9 | 46.0 | 36.7 | 36.3 |
| Italy | 16.4 | 31.4 | 43.8 | : | 31.2 |
| Latvia | 26.5 | 37.0 | 65.0 | : | 57.7 |
| Lithuania | 12.1 | 37.4 | 49.5 | 59.9 | 49.1 |
| Malta | 2.3 | 31.7 | 14.2 | 25.0 | 26.6 |
| Netherlands | 9.4 | 14.2 | 27.0 | 39.4 | 31.4 |
| Poland | 19.5 | 27.4 | 41.0 | : | 34.9 |
| Portugal | 20.9 | 34.4 | 43.4 | 50.4 | 41.8 |
| Romania | 29.1 | 49.1 | : | 55.2 | 42.9 |
| Slovakia | 13.5 | 31.5 | 48.5 | 54.3 | 41.1 |
| Slovenia | 12.9 | 25.8 | 39.3 | 47.9 | 31.4 |
| Spain | 17.6 | 36.1 | 52.2 | 50.6 | 42.1 |
| Sweden | 16.1 | 38.6 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 42.5 |
| United Kingdom | 15.9 | 31.2 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 41.2 |
| Bulgaria | 18.0 | 34.9 | : | 52.4 | 43.8 |
| Iceland | 15.1 | 29.9 | 53.0 | : | 33.8 |
| Israel | 10.6 | 21.6 | 33.6 | 44.7 | 24.6 |
| Norway | 15.7 | 28.2 | 45.5 | 48.8 | 37.6 |
| Switzerland | 16.5 | 23.3 | 33.8 | 41.3 | 30.8 |
| Turkey | 25.5 | 27.4 | 40.5 | 41.6 | 35.7 |

Source: Wis database DG Research, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2002; CY, NO, PT: 2003 FTE instead of HC: NL, IL (2001)
Data unavailable: IE, LU; Grade C unavailable: BG, FR, RO; Grade D unavailable BG, FR, IT, LV, IS, PL

BE-sum of BE-FL + BE-FR
Data estimated: SI
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

Figure 3.3: Percentage of grade A among all academic staff by sex, 2004


Source: WiS database DG Research, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2002; CY, NO, PT: 2003
FTE instead of HC: NL, IL (2001)
Data unavailable: IE, LU; Grade C unavailable: BG, FR, RO; Grade D unavailable: BG, FR, IT, LV, IS, PL

BE-total of BE-FL + BE-FR
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

Figure 3.4: Glass Ceiling Index, 2004



Source: WiS database DG Research, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2002; CY, NO, PT: 2003
FTE instead of HC: NL, IL (2001)
Data unavailable: IE, LU; Grade C unavailable: BG, FR, RO
Country with small numbers: MT, CY, IS
$B E$ : sum of BE-FL + BE-FR
Data estimated: SI
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

Table 3.2: Proportion of female grade A staff by main field of science, 2004

|  | NATURAL SCIENCES | ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY | MEDICAL SCIENCES | AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOCIAL } \\ & \text { SCIENCES } \end{aligned}$ | HUMANITIES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 11.3 | 5.8 | 15.6 | 14.9 | 16.6 | 23.9 |
| Austria | 4.4 | 3.7 | 8.9 | 5.6 | 9.6 | 19.1 |
| Belgium | 7.7 | 4.2 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 11.5 | 13.0 |
| Cyprus | 18.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic | 9.2 | 4.5 | 14.2 | 9.1 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| Denmark | 6.9 | 1.4 | 14.9 | 16.2 | 13.2 | 15.2 |
| Finland | 11.3 | 6.3 | 21.6 | 16.0 | 28.6 | 35.1 |
| France | 12.3 | 6.5 | 15.3 | : | 17.0 | 30.1 |
| Germany | 5.6 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 16.3 |
| Italy | 15.9 | 6.1 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 17.1 | 29.4 |
| Latvia | 0.0 |  | 38.5 | : | 39.3 | 36.4 |
| Malta | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Netherlands | 5.3 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 16.3 |
| Norway | 9.9 | 4.9 | 16.8 | 14.0 | 18.3 | 24.6 |
| Poland | 16.9 | 8.7 | 28.2 | 24.3 | 20.6 | 22.5 |
| Portugal | 27.5 | 5.0 | 26.2 | 27.0 | 20.4 | X |
| Slovakia | 13.0 | 6.6 | 17.0 | 3.5 | 17.3 | 20.6 |
| Slovenia | 3.8 | 5.4 | 19.0 | 20.4 | 14.5 | 17.8 |
| Sweden | 11.7 | 7.1 | 15.3 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 25.8 |
| United Kingdom | 8.2 | 4.9 | 22.0 | 14.7 | 21.2 | 17.2 |
| Switzerland | 7.3 | 10.1 | 18.1 | 12.8 | 23.4 | 19.9 |
| Turkey | 25.7 | 15.6 | 34.5 | 13.6 | 24.3 | 20.3 |

[^5]Figure 3.5: Distribution of grade A staff across fields of science by sex, 2004


Source: WiS database DG Research, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: LV, TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2002; CY, NO, PT: 2003
FTE instead of HC: NL, IL (2001)
Data unavailable by field of science: BG, EE, EL, ES, IE, IS, HU, IL, LT, LU, RO
$B E$ : Sum of BE-FL + BE-FR
PT: H included in SS
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

Figure 3.6: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Higher Education Sector (HES) by sex, 2003


Figure 3.7: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Government Sector (GOV) by sex, 2003


Figure 3.8: Distribution of R\&D personnel across occupations for the Business Enterprise Sector (BES) by sex, 2003


## 4. Setting the scientific agenda

Chapter 3 examined the extent to which women hold senior posts in higher education and as researchers in the three major sectors of higher education, government and business and enterprise. Seniority within the employment hierarchy was taken as a primary indicator of the extent to which women are able to contribute to the development of the research agenda through decision-making and leadership. The extent to which influence of this kind is gender-biased is further explored in chapter 4 through a number of other indicators, principally those concerned with access to funds, membership of scientific boards, and pay.

## Research funding

Figure 4.1 shows the difference in the success rates for funding between women and men. This is a move away from the data presented on research funding in She Figures 2003 and is a more realistic and fair way to look at the success rates given that the data are not comparable across countries due to differences in coverage within each of the national systems. A positive result shows higher success rates for men and a negative result shows higher success rates for women. In general we can see that there is not a huge amount of difference between women and men. Out of the 26 countries presented, 17 show higher success rates for men and 9 show higher success rates for women. Of these 17 countries, all but 2 show a difference of more than $10 \%$ between women and men; Cyprus (13.5\%) and Austria (11\%).

Of the 9 countries which show greater success rates for women, the scale varies from $-0.8 \%$ (Estonia) to ( $-4.7 \%$ ) Slovakia.
It must always be remembered, however, that women are in a minority in many of the key subjects for which research funding is sought, so that equal rates of success disguise continuing differences of numbers. Furthermore, the success rates are calculated only in relation to the numbers who applied, and one needs to know, in addition, the size of the pool of potential applicants in order to determine whether men and women are applying in proportion to their presence in that pool. Only if that is the case will equal success rates indicate equitable access to the funds available. Further analysis is therefore needed in order to understand the application patterns that lie behind the success rates, since access to funds is not simply about how awards are made; it is also about the rate at which men and women apply, whether there are gender differences at this stage and, if there are, whether it is possible to remedy any perceived or actual deterrents.

## Board membership

A complementary measure of women's participation in developing the research agenda is their presence on scientific boards, shown in Figure 4.2. The information here encompasses all disciplines, humanities and social sciences, as well as the S \& T fields. In the light of the evidence of gender-bias in many of the sciences, which has been repeatedly revealed by the data in the preceding
chapters, the question has to be asked whether a breakdown by broad fields would reveal even lower female representation in particular fields. This is a level of analysis that is relatively straightforward in Member States where there are specific grant-awarding bodies for each of the main fields of research. However, even where there is an umbrella organisation, the processes of strategic planning, policy development and the awarding of grants may well be sub-divided in order to deploy appropriate expertise, so that further investigation of genderbalance within sub-groups is likely to be possible, leading in turn to the development of policies and practices which produce more equitable representation. What lies behind the present figures varies by country, partly because of structural differences in the way the research agenda and its associated funds are organised and managed, and partly because there are not and probably never can be - standard definitions about what is reported for this particular data-set. There is thus a certain ad hoc quality about what countries choose to count for this purpose. However, it is clear that women are seriously underrepresented on scientific boards in a majority of EU countries. In the Member States, women constitute more than $40 \%$ of board members only in Finland and Sweden; and below these two countries, only the UK and Denmark are above 30\%. Norway and Bulgaria have a better gender-balance than most of the EU in this respect, and Iceland also performs relatively well. For the majority of EU countries, by contrast, the presence of women on scientific boards varies from between one in five, to rather less than one in ten, which is a striking imbalance.

Share of national research funding
Access to grant funding and participation in the decision-making processes through board membership, presented in figures 4.1 and 4.2, are important means of measuring gender-balance in research, both because of their practical impact and because of their iconic value as high-status forms of recognition. In fact, however, if the female share of R\&D funding is to be fully assessed, one needs a broader analysis of the overall funding of research throughout the main economic sectors of higher education, government, and business and enterprise. Figures 4.3 and 4.4 provide some basic data on this more comprehensive assessment of research expenditure. In order to compare the data across countries and avoid the differences that arise due to different national currencies and exchange rates, results for both figures are calculated based on purchasing power parities (PPPs) and presented in purchasing power standard (PPS) rather than Euros. PPPs are defined as currency conversion rates that both convert to a common currency (PPS) and equalise the purchasing power of different currencies eliminating the differences in price levels between countries. This means that a given sum of money, when converted into PPS at the PPP rate, will buy the same basket of goods and services in all countries, thus making comparability across countries more precise.

Figure 4.3 cross references the percentage of female researchers with R\&D expenditure per capita researcher. It is interesting to note that the 9 countries (Latvia, Estonia, Lithuania, Slovakia, Poland, Romania, Bulgaria, Portugal and Turkey) with low levels of expenditure per capita researcher (less than 40,000 PPS) have the highest proportion of women among all the countries.

The countries with the highest R\&D expenditure per capita researcher are Luxembourg (180,000 PPS) and The Netherlands (170,000 PPS) followed closely by Switzerland (150,000 PPS), Italy ( 140,000 PPS) and France ( 135,000 PPS). It is interesting to note that Luxembourg and The Netherlands have the lowest proportion of female researchers at $18 \%$ and $17 \%$ respectively. The EU average of R\&D expenditure per capita researcher is 130,000 PPS with the proportion of female researchers at $29 \%$.

Figure 4.4 presents the R\&D expenditure per capita researcher by economic sectors, higher education, business and enterprise and government. From this figure it is clear to see that in almost all countries (except France, Ireland, Greece and Cyprus) R\&D expenditure per capita researcher is highest in the business and enterprise sector. As we have seen from chapter 1, women are significantly under-represented in the BES with the EU average at only $18 \%$. France, Ireland, Greece and Cyprus show higher R\&D expenditure per capita researcher in the government sector. From Figure 4.3 and 4.4 we can see a pattern emerging where the highest proportion of women are to be found in the countries and sectors with the lowest R\&D expenditure and the lowest proportions of women are to be found in the sectors with the highest R\&D expenditure.

While more analysis and study is required to truly understand the dynamics of this situation, these figures show that men are concentrated more than women in the sectors with the highest expenditure and this may be a factor in their decision to opt for certain sectors. Unfortunately due to unavailability of data, it is not yet possible to cross reference researchers by field of science with R\&D expenditure per capita researcher by fields of science. However it is likely that we would see the same pattern emerging.

## Gender pay-gap

The final analysis is of the gender pay-gap both as an indicator covering the whole economy (fig. 4.5) and also by selected occupational groups in private enterprise (table 4.1). The official measure for the overall EU gender pay gap covering the entire economy currently stands at $15 \%$, a slight improvement from 2002 at $16 \%$ (fig. 4.5). When we analyse the gender pay-gap per country we can see that the widest gaps are being portrayed by Cyprus (25\%), Estonia, Slovakia (24\%), Germany (23\%) and the United Kingdom ( $22 \%$ ) while the narrowest gaps are found in Malta (4\%), Portugal (5\%) and Belgium (6\%). Wide variation can be seen among countries and it is interesting to note that all the Nordic countries lie above the EU average. While the data in Figure 4.5 provides an overview of the situation, they are collected as one single percentage figure from each country and therefore do not allow any deeper analysis.

Table 4.1 on the other hand shows the gender pay-gap for a selection of occupations in private enterprise. The figures are derived from the Structure of Earnings Survey and based on the international Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO). The figures are for the EU as a whole only and may vary considerably within Member States, but the recurrent scale of the gap is striking.

Three occupations were selected which were deemed the most relevant for the purpose of this publication. The first group selected relate to decision making occupations (ISCO 100 Legislators, senior officials and managers) and although not specific to research they show a wide gender gap. The gap is widest for managers of small enterprises ( $30 \%$ ) while the gap for corporate managers stands at $20 \%$. The second group
selected refer to "Professional" occupations (ISCO 200) and the third "Technical and Associate Professional" occupations (ISCO 300). From the "professional" group we can see that the gap for the physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals is $15 \%$ and slightly higher for this same group in Technical and Associate professionals at $19 \%$. Life science and health professionals show the widest gap in the Professional group at $27 \%$ yet the narrowest gap in Technical and Associate professionals at $10 \%$. The causes of the difference are complex and these too may vary at the national level, but in light of the data analysed in chapters 1-3, a major factor could be the difference in the proportional distribution of men and women across the vertical grading structures.

Figure 4.1: Research funding success rate differences' between women and men, 2004

$-6$

Source: WiS database DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: AT, SE: 1999; IL: 2000; EI, LU, NL, LT: 2002; IE, IT: 2003
Data unavailable: ES, FR, MT, BG, RO, TR,
BE : Flemish community only
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions
Success rate men minus success rate for women

Figure 4.2: Proportion of women on scientific boards, 2004


Source: WiS database DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: FR, PL, SE: 2002; BG, IT, LV: 2003
Data unavailable: AT, EL, ES, LU, MT, PT, RO, TR, IL
BE: French community only
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

Figure 4.3: Proportion of female researchers and R\&D expenditure in Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) per capita researcher, 2003


Purchasing power parties (PPPs) are defined as currency conversion rates that both convert national currencies to a common currency and equalise the purchasing power of different currencies. Purchasing power standard (PPS) is the artificial common currency into which national currencies are converted

Source: Eurostat S\&T Statistics
Researchers
EU- 25 calculated by DG Research
Exceptions: PL: 2000; AT, FI, TR: 2002
Data unavailable: MT, UK

## R\&D Expenditure

EU-25 calculated by Eurostat
Revised value: DK, IE; Provisional value: EL; Estimated value: SI, AT
Data unavailable: TR, CH

Figure 4.4: R\&D Expenditure in Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) per annum, per capita researcher by R\&D sector, 2003 300000


Figure 4.5: Gender Pay-Gap covering whole economy, 2002 and 2004


Gender Pay-Gap = the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees.

Source: Eurostat economy and finance statistics, EU-25 estimated by Eurostat
Exceptions to the reference year 2004: FI: 2003
Exceptions to the reference year 2002: AT, BE, IE, IT: 2001
Data unavailable: TR, IS, CH; Break in series 2004: BE, PT, RO; Provisional value 2004: IE, IT

Table 4.1: Gender Pay-Gap by selected occupations in private enterprise, EU-25, 2002

|  | Gender Pay Gap |
| :---: | :---: |
| ISCO Codes |  |
| 100 Legislators, senior officials and managers |  |
| 110 Legislators, senior officials and managers | 26 |
| 120 Corporate managers | 20 |
| 130 Managers of small enterprises | 30 |
|  |  |
| 200 Professionals |  |
| 210 Physical, mathematical and engineering science professionals | 15 |
| 220 Life science and health professionals | 27 |
| 230 Teaching professionals | 14 |
| 240 Other professionals | 26 |
|  |  |
| 300 Technicians and associate professionals |  |
| 310 Physical and engineering science associate professionals | 19 |
| 320 Life science and health associate professionals | 10 |
| 330 Teaching associate professionals | 19 |
| 340 Other associate professionals | 20 |

Gender Pay-Gap = the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees.


## Annex 1.1: Number of ISCED6 Graduates by sex 1999-2003

|  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| EU-25 | 28691 | 46529 | 31006 | 48241 | 32752 | 49577 | : | : | 37720 | 50395 |
| Austria | 635 | 1208 | 648 | 1142 | 695 | 1176 | 799 | 1326 | 891 | 1306 |
| Belgium | 0 | 0 | 390 | 757 | 420 | 897 | 506 | 907 | 509 | 923 |
| Cyprus | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 256 | 571 | 258 | 637 | 370 | 696 | 455 | 872 | 545 | 1001 |
| Denmark | 332 | 579 | 349 | 569 | 363 | 596 | 365 | 596 | 402 | 591 |
| Estonia | 69 | 66 | 66 | 51 | 77 | 72 | 112 | 76 | 132 | 94 |
| Finland | 823 | 1068 | 823 | 974 | 825 | 972 | 838 | 913 | 857 | 902 |
| France | 4070 | 5833 | 4445 | 5959 | 4445 | 5959 | 0 | 0 | 3514 | 4906 |
| Germany | 8186 | 16359 | 8852 | 16928 | 8752 | 16044 | 8672 | 15166 | 8724 | 14319 |
| Hungary | 493 | 736 | 274 | 443 | 301 | 492 | 440 | 543 | 458 | 609 |
| Ireland | 272 | 306 | 236 | 265 | 254 | 318 | 209 | 311 | 338 | 330 |
| Italy | 1877 | 1680 | 2054 | 1990 | 2065 | 1912 | 2303 | 2153 | 3231 | 3120 |
| Latvia | 33 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 37 | 15 | 43 | 21 |
| Lithuania | 113 | 130 | 212 | 230 | 137 | 124 | 218 | 169 | 155 | 97 |
| Luxembourg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Malta | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Netherlands | 744 | 1739 | 806 | 1683 | 797 | 1736 | 984 | 1572 | 1063 | 1521 |
| Poland | 1655 | 2345 | 0 | 0 | 1832 | 2568 | 1957 | 2443 | 2434 | 3016 |
| Portugal | 1206 | 1152 | 1305 | 1199 | 1416 | 1376 | 1589 | 1402 | 2085 | 1638 |
| Slovakia | 155 | 260 | 171 | 275 | 212 | 320 | 298 | 436 | 1172 | 954 |
| Slovenia | 103 | 157 | 114 | 182 | 146 | 152 | 144 | 174 | 152 | 215 |
| Spain | 2765 | 3542 | 2643 | 3364 | 2767 | 3686 | 3136 | 3769 | 3384 | 4095 |
| Sweden | 991 | 1925 | 1117 | 1932 | 1328 | 2060 | 1429 | 2088 | 1522 | 2036 |
| Bulgaria | 128 | 222 | 164 | 235 | 158 | 218 | 204 | 181 | 207 | 194 |
| Iceland | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Israel | 378 | 422 | 405 | 454 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | 252 | 444 | 219 | 439 | 264 | 504 | 272 | 468 | 286 | 428 |
| Romania | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 12594 | 9247 |
| Switzerland | 887 | 1905 | 946 | 1799 | 948 | 1850 | 1000 | 1743 | 985 | 1700 |
| Turkey | 983 | 1632 | 789 | 1335 | 762 | 1223 | 833 | 1639 | 1055 | 1760 |
| Japan | 2103 | 8871 | 2365 | 9827 | 3002 | 10177 | 3157 | 10485 | 3611 | 10901 |
| United Kingdom | 4163 | 7176 | 4434 | 7134 | 5594 | 8553 | 5925 | 8308 | 6192 | 8743 |
| United States | 19346 | 26664 | 19780 | 25028 | 20176 | 24728 | 20452 | 23708 | 21644 | 24350 |

Data not applicable as most students graduate abroad: CY, LU Includes State examina rigorosa for 2003: SK

## Annex 1.2: Number of researchers by sex, HC 1999-2003

|  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | : | : | : | : | : | : | 8192 | 31365 | : | : |
| Belgium | : | : | : | : | : | : | 12313 | 31969 | 12592 | 31957 |
| Cyprus | 177 | 488 | 208 | 584 | 258 | 622 | 298 | 716 | 337 | 752 |
| Czech Republic | 7528 | 17346 | 7055 | 19118 | 7133 | 17439 | 9024 | 21611 | 8905 | 22516 |
| Denmark | 7661 | 20977 | : | : | 8355 | 21436 | 9955 | 28010 | 10415 | 26300 |
| Estonia | 1920 | 2643 | 1969 | 2601 | 2078 | 2725 | 2168 | 2921 | 2340 | 3084 |
| Finland | 12355 | 30808 | : | : | : | : | 15025 | 35190 | : | : |
| France | : | : | : | : | : | : | 64253 | 167563 | 66713 | 173473 |
| Germany | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 75693 | 313692 |
| Greece | 12105 | 17454 | : | : | 9295 | 17045 | : | : | 10416 | 17868 |
| Hungary | 7554 | 17055 | 9537 | 18339 | 9363 | 18988 | 10039 | 19725 | 10647 | 19645 |
| Ireland | : | : | : | : | : | : | 4686 | 10826 | 5125 | 11382 |
| Italy | 26450 | 72174 | 27908 | 72263 | 28176 | 72266 | 31220 | 77662 | 31483 | 75971 |
| Latvia | 2021 | 2093 | 3033 | 3084 | 3050 | 2735 | 3159 | 2942 | 2926 | 2587 |
| Lithuania | : | : | 4542 | 5558 | 4801 | 5412 | 4536 | 4981 | 5101 | 5451 |
| Luxembourg | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 353 | 1670 |
| Netherlands | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 7825 | 37729 |
| Poland | : | : | 33572 | 54617 | : | : | : | : | 37065 | 57367 |
| Portugal | 12255 | 16120 | 12914 | 16847 | 13572 | 17574 | 14734 | 18767 | 15895 | 19960 |
| Slovakia | : | : | : | : | : | : | 6086 | 9299 | 6543 | 9565 |
| Slovenia | 2323 | 4398 | 2358 | 4204 | 2383 | 4357 | 2466 | 4561 | 2435 | 4646 |
| Spain | 38099 | 78496 | : | : | 49654 | 90753 | 52850 | 97248 | 57515 | 101051 |
| Sweden | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 25391 | 46201 |
| Bulgaria | 5440 | 6895 | 4797 | 5730 | 4758 | 5688 | 4837 | 5608 | 5070 | 5806 |
| Iceland | 913 | 1867 | : | : | 1126 | 2117 | : | : | 1384 | 2133 |
| Norway | 8615 | 22346 | : | : | 9883 | 24981 | : | : | 10505 | 25195 |
| Romania | 11443 | 15049 | 9841 | 13338 | 10107 | 13490 | 10886 | 13750 | 11179 | 14789 |
| Switzerland | : | : | 7064 | 26181 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Turkey | 19317 | 38703 | 23173 | 44339 | 23663 | 43527 | 25407 | 45881 | : | : |
| Japan | : | : | : | : | 85207 | 707492 | 88674 | 702550 | 96133 | 734412 |

Data provisional: IE (2003)
Data estimated: PT (2000 \& 2002); SI (2003): SE

Annex 1.3: Number of researchers in HES by sex, HC, 1999-2003

|  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | : | : | : | : | : | : | 5216 | 12198 | : | : |
| Belgium | 3990 | 10196 | : | : | 4511 | 10695 | 7712 | 14701 | 7958 | 14417 |
| Cyprus | 76 | 202 | 84 | 242 | 103 | 262 | 136 | 310 | 172 | 383 |
| Czech Republic | 3656 | 6324 | 3522 | 7212 | 3504 | 7580 | 4483 | 8364 | 4205 | 8584 |
| Denmark | 2645 | 7040 | 2819 | 7238 | 3240 | 7593 | 4373 | 9311 | 4379 | 9611 |
| Estonia | 1298 | 1836 | 1434 | 1913 | 1502 | 1967 | 1607 | 2100 | 1696 | 2066 |
| Finland | 5936 | 8265 | 6041 | 8531 | : | : | 7324 | 6580 | : | : |
| France | 28714 | 61851 | : | : | 30971 | 64355 | 32778 | 66576 | 34835 | 67275 |
| Germany | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 43593 | 130638 |
| Greece | 10097 | 12702 | : | : | 7236 | 11762 | : | : | 7567 | 12940 |
| Hungary | 4898 | 10558 | 6303 | 11457 | 6313 | 11958 | 6576 | 12072 | 6976 | 11995 |
| Ireland | : | : | : | : | : | : | 3180 | 5164 | 3580 | 5650 |
| Italy | 14448 | 36460 | 14970 | 36983 | 16372 | 38484 | 17590 | 39943 | 17371 | 39109 |
| Latvia | 1458 | 1544 | 2059 | 1974 | 2101 | 1987 | 2199 | 2013 | 2181 | 1970 |
| Lithuania | : | : | 3190 | 4005 | 3439 | 3800 | 3504 | 3801 | 4040 | 4264 |
| Luxembourg | : | : | 8 | 28 | 21 | 28 | : | : | 21 | 28 |
| Malta | : | : | : | : | : | : | 143 | 466 | 155 | 484 |
| Netherlands | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 3589 | 8809 |
| Poland | : | : | 24925 | 39072 | : | : | : | : | 28758 | 42211 |
| Portugal | 7209 | 8908 | 7500 | 9197 | 7791 | 9485 | 8467 | 10124 | 9143 | 10763 |
| Slovakia | : | : | : | : | : | : | 4117 | 5984 | 4558 | 6444 |
| Slovenia | 954 | 1964 | 1007 | 1947 | 989 | 2010 | 1047 | 2009 | 985 | 2004 |
| Spain | 28406 | 53981 | 34235 | 59684 | 37181 | 61878 | 37388 | 63631 | 38670 | 63902 |
| Sweden | 11106 | 22393 | : | : | 12857 | 23737 | : | : | 16439 | 21141 |
| United Kingdom | 48575 | 87172 | 51218 | 88722 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Bulgaria | 1051 | 2193 | 875 | 1613 | 992 | 1845 | 1087 | 1929 | 1144 | 1880 |
| Iceland | 347 | 653 | : | : | 365 | 653 | : | : | 467 | 617 |
| Israel | 1078 | 3610 | 1125 | 3549 | 1140 | 3498 | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | 4839 | 9525 | : | : | 5418 | 9746 | : | : | 6099 | 10117 |
| Romania | 1551 | 2807 | 1643 | 2872 | 2470 | 3707 | 3061 | 4483 | 3841 | 5685 |
| Switzerland | : | : | 4070 | 11195 | : | : | 5317 | 14010 | : | : |
| Turkey | 17283 | 33355 | 20885 | 38409 | 21413 | 37250 | 23040 | 39167 | : | : |
| Japan | 49642 | 209370 | 51231 | 208528 | 54541 | 226169 | 56115 | 225189 | 57989 | 226341 |

Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics
Data provisional: IE
FTE instead of HC: IL
Data estimated: SE

Annex 1.4: Number of researchers in GOV by sex, HC, 1999-2003

|  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | : | : | : | : | : | : | 820 | 1548 | : | : |
| Belgium | : | : | : | : | : | : | 625 | 1487 | 648 | 1525 |
| Cyprus | 50 | 110 | 56 | 121 | 73 | 128 | 72 | 147 | 87 | 130 |
| Czech Republic | 2300 | 4093 | 2065 | 4624 | 2234 | 4853 | 2582 | 5268 | 2611 | 5386 |
| Denmark | 2355 | 4552 | 2197 | 4117 | 2251 | 4128 | 1077 | 2122 | 1143 | 2130 |
| Estonia | 383 | 375 | 349 | 326 | 361 | 249 | 363 | 242 | 379 | 258 |
| Finland | 2420 | 4027 | 2163 | 3609 | : | : | 2440 | 3553 | : | : |
| France | 5661 | 14121 | 8478 | 19091 | 7858 | 15154 | 3451 | 6870 | 8449 | 17927 |
| Germany | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 11895 | 32040 |
| Greece | 1031 | 1715 | : | : | 1105 | 1763 | : | : | 1176 | 1851 |
| Hungary | 1708 | 3382 | 2008 | 3358 | 1842 | 3330 | 2189 | 3546 | 2323 | 3499 |
| Ireland | : | : | : | : | : | : | 185 | 402 | 204 | 462 |
| Italy | 6841 | 11329 | 7448 | 11064 | 6231 | 9995 | 6190 | 9896 | 6721 | 10668 |
| Latvia | 492 | 407 | 419 | 381 | 430 | 339 | 408 | 336 | 366 | 294 |
| Lithuania | : | : | 1199 | 1367 | 1114 | 1269 | 919 | 947 | 874 | 862 |
| Luxembourg | : | : | 81 | 183 | 97 | 210 | 111 | 220 | 104 | 261 |
| Malta | : | : | : | : | : | : | 17 | 16 | 2 | 7 |
| Netherlands | : | : | : | : | 1448 | 5793 | : | : | 2130 | 6414 |
| Poland | : | : | 5307 | 7054 | 3256 | 6523 | 3451 | 6870 | 6150 | 8814 |
| Portugal | 2927 | 2441 | 2924 | 2366 | 2921 | 2290 | 2915 | 2204 | 2909 | 2118 |
| Slovakia | : | : | : | : | : | : | 1201 | 1521 | 1286 | 1558 |
| Slovenia | 849 | 1114 | 862 | 1057 | 832 | 1013 | 839 | 1100 | 803 | 1053 |
| Spain | 5951 | 9922 | 6628 | 10443 | 7706 | 10981 | 7686 | 10451 | 9548 | 11919 |
| Sweden | 314 | 775 | : | : | 366 | 795 | : | : | 1237 | 2162 |
| United Kingdom | : | : | : | : | : | : | 3128 | 6720 | 3225 | 6787 |
| Bulgaria | 3716 | 3861 | 3301 | 3462 | 3146 | 3241 | 3188 | 3138 | 3235 | 3142 |
| Iceland | 306 | 515 | : | : | 206 | 472 | : | : | 430 | 592 |
| Norway | 1300 | 2671 | : | : | 1414 | 2663 | : | : | 1540 | 2790 |
| Romania | 2958 | 2897 | 2638 | 2752 | 2802 | 2962 | 2997 | 3085 | 3083 | 3187 |
| Switzerland | : | : | 149 | 621 | : | : | 231 | 753 | : | : |
| Turkey | 884 | 1867 | 976 | 2180 | 989 | 2500 | 1047 | 2757 | : | : |
| Japan | : | : | : | : | 4068 | 31924 | 4138 | 31914 | 4233 | 32035 |

[^6]Annex 1.5: Number of researchers in BES by sex, HC, 1999-2003

|  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | : | : | : | : | : | : | 2012 | 17383 | : | : |
| Belgium | 3429 | 15637 | 3450 | 16250 | 3784 | 17066 | 3838 | 17191 | : | : |
| Cyprus | 39 | 150 | 54 | 183 | 65 | 194 | 70 | 221 | 57 | 199 |
| Czech Republic | 1559 | 6816 | 1353 | 6865 | 1341 | 6777 | 1926 | 7862 | 2030 | 8387 |
| Denmark | 2531 | 9271 | : | : | 2779 | 9502 | 4423 | 16371 | 4821 | 14365 |
| Estonia | 232 | 419 | 164 | 343 | 190 | 486 | 170 | 555 | 226 | 727 |
| Finland | 3999 | 18516 | : | : | : | : | 4993 | 22120 | : | : |
| France | : | : | 17787 | 68429 | 19014 | 75360 | 21273 | 80417 | 21813 | 85588 |
| Germany | : | : | : | : | 21210 | 153830 | : | : | 20205 | 151014 |
| Greece | 940 | 2991 | : | : | 909 | 3466 | : | : | 1599 | 3012 |
| Hungary | 948 | 3115 | 1226 | 3524 | 1208 | 3700 | 1274 | 4107 | 1348 | 4151 |
| Ireland | : | : | : | : | 1415 | 5536 | 1321 | 5260 | 1341 | 5270 |
| Italy | 5161 | 24385 | 5490 | 24216 | 5573 | 23787 | 6110 | 25937 | 5872 | 24628 |
| Latvia | 71 | 140 | 555 | 727 | 518 | 405 | 550 | 590 | 379 | 323 |
| Lithuania | : | : | 153 | 186 | 248 | 343 | 113 | 233 | 187 | 325 |
| Luxembourg | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 228 | 1381 |
| Netherlands | : | : | : | : | 2628 | 25685 | : | : | 2125 | 22404 |
| Poland | : | : | 3332 | 8464 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Portugal | 818 | 2510 | 1049 | 2928 | 1280 | 3345 | 1546 | 3818 | 1811 | 4291 |
| Slovakia | : | : | : | : | : | : | 765 | 1792 | 696 | 1559 |
| Slovenia | 506 | 1266 | 471 | 1114 | 512 | 1213 | 534 | 1324 | 569 | 1443 |
| Spain | 3353 | 13957 | : | : | 4000 | 17093 | 7547 | 22856 | 9080 | 25025 |
| Sweden | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | 7715 | 22898 |
| Bulgaria | 637 | 798 | 605 | 620 | 572 | 556 | 548 | 527 | 658 | 727 |
| Iceland | 197 | 645 | : | : | 389 | 834 | : | : | 420 | 854 |
| Norway | 2476 | 10150 | : | : | 3051 | 12572 | : | : | 2866 | 12288 |
| Romania | 6934 | 9345 | 5560 | 7714 | 4835 | 6821 | 4828 | 6182 | 4215 | 5871 |
| Switzerland | : | : | 2845 | 14365 | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Turkey | 1150 | 3481 | 1312 | 3750 | 1261 | 3777 | 1320 | 3957 | : | : |
| Japan | : | : | : | : | 25359 | 436603 | 27204 | 432849 | 32596 | 465024 |

Data provisional: BE (2002); EL (2001)
Break in series: DK (2002)
Data estimated: PT (2000 \& 2002): SE

Annex 2.1: Number of ISCED 6 graduates by broad field of study and sex, 2003

|  | Education |  | Humanities \& Arts |  | Social sciences, business \& law |  | Science, Mathematics \& Computing |  | Engineering, Manufacturing <br> \& Construction |  | Agricultural \& Veterinary |  | Health \& Welfare |  | Services \& Unspecified |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| EU-25 | 1718 | 1124 | 5873 | 5542 | 6483 | 8572 | 10110 | 15166 | 2575 | 9175 | 1748 | 1773 | 8755 | 8366 | 458 | 676 |
| Austria | 79 | 42 | 153 | 169 | 353 | 460 | 133 | 307 | 61 | 261 | 89 | 46 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 14 |
| Belgium | 7 | 9 | 70 | 96 | 69 | 122 | 207 | 419 | 12 | 72 | 26 | 59 | 113 | 136 | 5 | 10 |
| Cyprus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | : | : |
| Czech Republic | 14 | 7 | 67 | 71 | 100 | 150 | 147 | 254 | 92 | 321 | 40 | 69 | 79 | 108 | 6 | 21 |
| Denmark | 0 | 0 | 38 | 43 | 34 | 54 | 44 | 87 | 80 | 256 | 44 | 40 | 75 | 64 | : | : |
| Estonia | 1 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 25 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 5 | 95 | 36 | 3 | 0 |
| Finland | 84 | 42 | 135 | 98 | 163 | 153 | 132 | 174 | 92 | 269 | 20 | 28 | 205 | 114 | 26 | 24 |
| France | 49 | 51 | 758 | 607 | 665 | 941 | 1551 | 2491 | 202 | 577 | 10 | 8 | 259 | 203 | 20 | 28 |
| Germany | 242 | 218 | 998 | 1020 | 1287 | 2439 | 1819 | 4269 | 252 | 1961 | 486 | 409 | 3586 | 3933 | 54 | 70 |
| Hungary | 44 | 30 | 162 | 125 | 52 | 93 | 78 | 130 | 11 | 26 | 32 | 68 | 77 | 101 | 2 | 36 |
| Ireland | 3 | 3 | 68 | 60 | 25 | 28 | 172 | 132 | 24 | 53 | 6 | 13 | 37 | 36 | 3 | 5 |
| Italy | 29 | 11 | 485 | 344 | 568 | 560 | 1043 | 888 | 367 | 810 | 209 | 175 | 527 | 330 | 3 | 2 |
| Latvia | 10 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | : | : |
| Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 30 | 8 | 46 | 26 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 43 | 20 | : |  |
| Luxembourg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Malta | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | : | . |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 99 | 127 | 216 | 229 | 203 | 303 | 90 | 363 | 97 | 122 | 358 | 377 | : | : |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 671 | 630 | 259 | 347 | 453 | 451 | 224 | 704 | 301 | 259 | 489 | 547 | 37 | 78 |
| Portugal | 295 | 156 | 342 | 170 | 559 | 479 | 375 | 270 | 178 | 344 | 51 | 28 | 107 | 62 | 178 | 129 |
| Slovakia | 304 | 116 | 72 | 84 | 336 | 342 | 188 | 140 | 38 | 107 | 18 | 38 | 206 | 83 | 10 | 44 |
| Slovenia | 9 | 6 | 29 | 17 | 20 | 33 | 39 | 48 | 17 | 71 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 24 | 4 | 2 |
| Spain | 155 | 107 | 572 | 604 | 688 | 767 | 992 | 1139 | 116 | 429 | 134 | 191 | 637 | 655 | 90 | 203 |
| Sweden | 55 | 31 | 149 | 131 | 185 | 198 | 303 | 567 | 253 | 704 | 36 | 35 | 538 | 368 | 3 | 2 |
| United Kingdom | 337 | 292 | 953 | 1122 | 836 | 1144 | 2202 | 3050 | 439 | 1800 | 136 | 165 | 1280 | 1161 | 9 | 8 |
| Bulgaria | 12 | 11 | 54 | 25 | 32 | 32 | 47 | 42 | 16 | 35 | 13 | 15 | 31 | 24 | 2 | 10 |
| Iceland | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | : | : |
| Israel | 31 | 11 | 76 | 72 | 63 | 59 | 180 | 229 | 15 | 45 | 15 | 18 | 25 | 20 | : | : |
| Norway | 6 | 4 | 29 | 57 | 53 | 54 | 72 | 105 | 29 | 98 | 30 | 34 | 67 | 76 | : | : |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 349 | 244 | 2211 | 2533 | 544 | 401 | 971 | 1562 | 178 | 308 | 8341 | 4199 | : | : |
| Switzerland | 13 | 11 | 85 | 105 | 131 | 322 | 221 | 530 | 42 | 235 | 73 | 54 | 410 | 450 | 24 | 36 |
| Turkey | 95 | 174 | 102 | 247 | 161 | 381 | 148 | 277 | 110 | 271 | 153 | 231 | 276 | 165 | 10 | 14 |
| Japan | 176 | 186 | 720 | 759 | 466 | 973 | 449 | 1809 | 295 | 2917 | 270 | 823 | 1170 | 3391 | 65 | 43 |
| United States | 4514 | 2323 | 3151 | 3742 | 5700 | 4500 | 3822 | 6939 | 988 | 4500 | 337 | 580 | 2707 | 1245 | 425 | 521 |

Source: Eurostat Education statistics, Israel Central Bureau of Statistics and the Council for Higher Education
Exception to the reference year: IL 2000
Data unavailable: EL
Most students graduate abroad and are not counted: LU, CY

Annex 2.2: Number of ISCED 6 graduates by narrow fields of study and sex in natural science and engineering ( $400 \& 500$ fields), 2003

|  | 400 Science, mathematics and computing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 Engineering, manufacturing and construction |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Life science |  | Physical science |  | Mathematics \& statistics |  | Computing |  | Engineering \& engineering trades |  | Manufacturing \& processing |  | Architecture \& building |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| EU-25 | 4765 | 3990 | 3656 | 7416 | 687 | 1488 | 346 | 1517 | 1190 | 5782 | 488 | 1037 | 583 | 1279 |
| Austria | 77 | 76 | 39 | 140 | 11 | 34 | 6 | 57 | 36 | 188 | 12 | 21 | 13 | 52 |
| Belgium | 131 | 194 | 57 | 134 | 17 | 31 | 2 | 60 | 9 | 58 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| Cyprus | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 83 | 80 | 48 | 120 | 13 | 28 | 3 | 26 | 65 | 261 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 49 |
| Denmark | 44 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Estonia | 2 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Finland | 62 | 38 | 53 | 82 | 12 | 23 | 5 | 31 | 69 | 223 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 21 |
| France | 712 | 622 | 697 | 1335 | 66 | 206 | 76 | 328 | 132 | 447 | 55 | 91 | 15 | 39 |
| Germany | 864 | 988 | 745 | 2516 | 164 | 424 | 46 | 341 | 108 | 1479 | 59 | 185 | 85 | 297 |
| Hungary | 34 | 45 | 34 | 57 | 7 | 21 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 5 |
| Ireland | 136 | 90 | 33 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 44 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| Italy | 502 | 191 | 433 | 524 | 92 | 125 | 16 | 48 | 40 | 256 | 114 | 331 | 213 | 223 |
| Latvia | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Lithuania | 8 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 1 | - | 2 | 15 | 19 | - | - | 3 | 4 |
| Luxembourg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Malta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | : | : | 195 | 294 | : | : | : | : | 80 | 364 | : | : | : | : |
| Portugal | 127 | 47 | 143 | 109 | 84 | 60 | 21 | 54 | 96 | 247 | 33 | 31 | 49 | 66 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 40 | 68 | 71 | 18 | 21 | 2 | 8 | 22 | 70 | 7 | 19 | 9 | 18 |
| Slovenia | 26 | 14 | 10 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 60 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 6 |
| Spain | 410 | 342 | 444 | 505 | 92 | 136 | 46 | 156 | 57 | 299 | 22 | 13 | 37 | 117 |
| Sweden | 135 | 126 | 127 | 265 | 17 | 89 | 24 | 87 | 185 | 582 | 33 | 68 | 35 | 54 |
| United Kingdom | 1309 | 1003 | 715 | 1475 | 90 | 282 | 88 | 289 | 247 | 1273 | 108 | 215 | 84 | 311 |
| Bulgaria | 14 | 4 | 30 | 31 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| Norway | : | : | 0 | 2 | : | : | : | : | 2 | 13 | : | : | 2 | 8 |
| Romania | 544 | 401 | : | : | : | : | : | : | 804 | 1340 | - | - | 167 | 222 |
| Switzerland | 121 | 163 | 86 | 283 | 10 | 35 | 4 | 49 | 40 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 38 |
| Turkey | 40 | 34 | 78 | 168 | 26 | 65 | 4 | 10 | 26 | 151 | 45 | 61 | 39 | 59 |
| United States | 2301 | 2737 | 1071 | 2798 | 275 | 745 | 175 | 659 | 919 | 4418 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 82 |

Source: Eurostat Education statistics
Exceptions to the reference year: NL, NO: 2002;
Data unavailable: EL, PL, IL
IS: Only 1 PhD graduate in $400 \& 500$ (physical science - male)
Most students graduate abroad and are not counted: LU, CY

## Annex 2.3: Number of researchers in HES by fields of science and sex, HC, 2003

|  | Natural Sciences |  | Engineering \& Technology |  | Medical Sciences |  | Agricultural Sciences |  | Social Sciences |  | Humanities |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 1002 | 3644 | 307 | 2120 | 1646 | 2952 | 221 | 320 | 1028 | 1807 | 1012 | 1355 | : | : |
| Cyprus | 55 | 143 | 8 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 126 | 43 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | 443 | 1237 | 1012 | 3282 | 880 | 1303 | 370 | 538 | 1200 | 1677 | 300 | 547 | : | : |
| Denmark | 696 | 2282 | 217 | 1287 | 1527 | 2654 | 289 | 338 | 581 | 1329 | 1069 | 1721 | : | : |
| Estonia | 383 | 730 | 221 | 517 | 185 | 116 | 90 | 123 | 429 | 358 | 388 | 222 | : | : |
| Germany | 7445 | 34685 | 3197 | 24706 | 15141 | 29436 | 1733 | 3944 | 6924 | 16309 | 9153 | 21559 | : | : |
| Hungary | 712 | 1796 | 577 | 2623 | 1294 | 1563 | 347 | 760 | 1455 | 2423 | 2591 | 2830 | : | : |
| Ireland | 900 | 1400 | 600 | 1000 | 450 | 750 | 130 | 200 | 900 | 1500 | 600 | 800 | : | : |
| Latvia | 670 | 866 | 174 | 407 | 181 | 109 | 123 | 168 | 466 | 323 | 567 | 97 | : | : |
| Lithuania | 728 | 815 | 480 | 1264 | 735 | 309 | 144 | 159 | 1065 | 913 | 888 | 804 | : | : |
| Luxembourg | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Malta | 12 | 64 | 9 | 80 | 114 | 191 | 0 | 8 | 105 | 143 | 32 | 81 | 0 | 2 |
| Portugal | 2807 | 2895 | 1080 | 2584 | 895 | 804 | 553 | 623 | 2604 | 2635 | 1204 | 1222 | : | : |
| Poland | 5167 | 8447 | 2751 | 11559 | 6737 | 5839 | 2592 | 2628 | 7268 | 8544 | 4243 | 5194 | : | : |
| Slovakia | 980 | 1712 | 958 | 2005 | 577 | 539 | 408 | 622 | 1103 | 1028 | 532 | 538 | : | : |
| Slovenia | 77 | 232 | 160 | 773 | 155 | 115 | 130 | 195 | 345 | 453 | 180 | 241 | : | : |
| Spain | 8956 | 14472 | 7274 | 14762 | 6345 | 9418 | 977 | 1613 | 8844 | 13824 | 6274 | 9813 | : | : |
| Sweden | 1328 | 3489 | 1187 | 5663 | 2965 | 3931 | 688 | 1134 | 2475 | 3624 | 1393 | 2008 | 2821 | 3888 |
| Bulgaria | 132 | 108 | 289 | 894 | 230 | 184 | 75 | 134 | 309 | 461 | 109 | 99 | : | : |
| Iceland | 58 | 106 | 128 | 158 | 83 | 101 | 14 | 25 | 118 | 133 | 66 | 94 | : | : |
| Norway | 695 | 1960 | 320 | 1512 | 1993 | 2266 | 171 | 263 | 1673 | 2423 | 1184 | 1645 | 63 | 48 |
| Romania | 211 | 235 | 1996 | 3522 | 827 | 768 | 55 | 63 | 637 | 935 | 115 | 162 | : | : |
| Turkey | 1932 | 3237 | 2457 | 4821 | 5394 | 8357 | 1679 | 3191 | 7923 | 13223 | 3655 | 6338 | : | : |

Annex 2.4: Number of researchers in GOV by fields of science and sex, HC, 2003

|  | Natural Sciences |  | Engineering \& Technology |  | Medical Sciences |  | Agricultural Sciences |  | Social Sciences |  | Humanities |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 92 | 326 | 54 | 154 | 99 | 157 | 104 | 290 | 231 | 325 | 240 | 296 | : | : |
| Cyprus | 42 | 36 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 11 | 52 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 11 | : | : |
| Czech Republic | 1149 | 2618 | 219 | 1292 | 257 | 277 | 330 | 431 | 192 | 247 | 464 | 521 | : | : |
| Denmark | 268 | 701 | 99 | 337 | 219 | 279 | 276 | 392 | 108 | 203 | 173 | 218 | : | : |
| Estonia | 75 | 98 | 13 | 32 | 81 | 31 | 24 | 23 | 6 | 9 | 180 | 65 | : | : |
| Germany | 4563 | 14189 | 2104 | 10581 | 1244 | 1720 | 941 | 1733 | 1208 | 1745 | 1834 | 2073 | : | : |
| Hungary | 554 | 1480 | 77 | 327 | 395 | 211 | 307 | 417 | 233 | 408 | 757 | 656 | : | : |
| Ireland | 18 | 50 | 1 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 147 | 319 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 3 | : | : |
| Latvia | 228 | 166 | 8 | 35 | 10 | 9 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 26 | 11 | 5 | : | : |
| Lithuania | 385 | 492 | 53 | 147 | 11 | 9 | 100 | 66 | 70 | 31 | 255 | 117 | : | : |
| Luxembourg | 40 | 91 | 17 | 88 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 4 | : | : |
| Malta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | : | : |
| Poland | 2027 | 2977 | 1160 | 3476 | 1394 | 796 | 853 | 896 | 305 | 343 | 411 | 326 | : | : |
| Portugal | 563 | 351 | 189 | 323 | 1207 | 831 | 516 | 396 | 317 | 155 | 117 | 62 | : | : |
| Slovakia | 480 | 701 | 100 | 253 | 203 | 155 | 128 | 123 | 328 | 310 | 47 | 16 | : | : |
| Slovenia | 223 | 381 | 51 | 101 | 328 | 366 | 31 | 59 | 197 | 176 | 9 | 17 | : | : |
| Spain | 1055 | 1501 | 1453 | 2295 | 5173 | 5909 | 1228 | 1392 | 328 | 482 | 311 | 340 | : | : |
| Bulgaria | 1413 | 1323 | 399 | 764 | 258 | 246 | 473 | 424 | 176 | 121 | 516 | 264 | : | : |
| Iceland | 33 | 93 | 62 | 90 | 241 | 238 | 40 | 117 | 37 | 38 | 17 | 16 | : | : |
| Norway | 252 | 652 | 106 | 511 | 180 | 189 | 311 | 542 | 485 | 669 | 206 | 227 | : | : |
| Romania | 1175 | 1271 | 632 | 796 | 469 | 244 | 98 | 240 | 474 | 318 | 235 | 318 | : | : |

## Annex 2.5: Number of researchers in BES by economic activity (NACE) and sex HC, 2003

|  | NACE code 24.4: Pharmaceuticals |  | NACE code 24 (-24.4): Chemicals \& chemical products (less pharmaceuticals) |  | NACE code 24: Chemicals and chemical products |  | Total Manufacturing (including 24) |  | Real estate, renting and business activities |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other NACE } \\ & \text { codes } \\ & \text { (except K \& D) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 210 | 297 | 70 | 306 | 280 | 603 | 946 | 11379 | 861 | 4770 | 205 | 1234 |
| Belgium | 1115 | 1285 | 526 | 1305 | 1641 | 2590 | 2817 | 9696 | 613 | 4352 | 443 | 1808 |
| Cyprus | 14 | 17 | 7 | 23 | 21 | 40 | 33 | 87 | 13 | 63 | 11 | 49 |
| Czech Republic | 89 | 116 | 103 | 296 | 192 | 412 | 587 | 4028 | 711 | 2910 | 732 | 1449 |
| Denmark | 1108 | 1295 | 198 | 445 | 1306 | 1740 | 2380 | 7356 | 1362 | 4905 | 1079 | 2104 |
| Estonia | c | c | c | c | 28 | 19 | 85 | 243 | 65 | 305 | 76 | 179 |
| Finland | : | : | : | : | 976 | 1699 | 6363 | 21818 | 1497 | 6325 | 707 | 2529 |
| Germany | 2274 | 3862 | 1609 | 6357 | 3883 | 10219 | 17509 | 138236 | 2449 | 15067 | 799 | 4904 |
| Greece | 9 | 15 | : | : | : | : | 967 | 1142 | 290 | 1263 | 342 | 607 |
| Hungary | 512 | 441 | 89 | 162 | 601 | 603 | 935 | 2529 | 177 | 668 | 236 | 954 |
| Ireland | 144 | 209 | 33 | 74 | 180 | 280 | 741 | 2761 | 573 | 2381 | 27 | 128 |
| Italy | 1058 | 1098 | 394 | 1239 | 1452 | 2337 | 3134 | 15936 | 1926 | 5991 | 812 | 2701 |
| Latvia | : | : | : | : | 89 | 18 | 114 | 66 | 253 | 242 | 12 | 15 |
| Lithuania | : | : | : | : | 31 | 26 | 144 | 201 | 41 | 110 | 2 | 14 |
| Luxembourg | : | : | : | : | : | : | 128 | 633 | 42 | 490 | 58 | 258 |
| Netherlands | 149 | 1016 | 248 | 1787 | 398 | 2802 | 1113 | 14387 | 598 | 5288 | 414 | 2729 |
| Portugal | c | c | c | c | : | : | 615 | 1653 | 614 | 1738 | 582 | 900 |
| Slovakia | c | c | c | c | 61 | 52 | 137 | 501 | 524 | 979 | 35 | 79 |
| Slovenia | 141 | 73 | 49 | 55 | 190 | 128 | 403 | 1007 | 102 | 226 | 29 | 91 |
| Spain | 921 | 884 | 538 | 1113 | 1459 | 1996 | 3554 | 12332 | 4423 | 9485 | 1103 | 3208 |
| Sweden | 1656 | 1524 | 355 | 533 | 2011 | 2057 | 5375 | 16790 | 1517 | 5066 | 823 | 1042 |
| Bulgaria | 150 | 47 | 25 | 13 | 175 | 60 | 309 | 220 | 109 | 262 | 240 | 245 |
| Iceland | 12 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 228 | 246 | 455 | 60 | 151 |
| Norway | 187 | 148 | 137 | 298 | 324 | 446 | 1102 | 5005 | 1155 | 5173 | 609 | 2110 |
| Romania | : | : | : | : | 374 | 173 | 2717 | 4146 | 273 | 489 | 1225 | 1236 |
| Turkey | 104 | 46 | 77 | 119 | 181 | 165 | 845 | 2953 | 222 | 431 | 253 | 573 |

Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics (except IS \& FI - DG RTD, Wis database)
Exceptions to the reference year: AT, FI, SI, TR: 2002; IS 2001
Data unavailable: FR, MT, PL, UK, CH
C : confidential data
Data estimated: SE

Annex 3.1: Number of senior Academic Staff (Grade A) by fields of science and sex, 2004

|  | Agricultural Sciences |  | Engineering \& Technology |  | Humanities |  | Medical Sciences |  | Natural Sciences |  | Social Sciences |  | Unknown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 3 | 51 | 10 | 262 | 86 | 364 | 23 | 234 | 21 | 457 | 45 | 423 | 0 | 0 |
| Belgium | 2 | 53 | 13 | 295 | 41 | 274 | 39 | 431 | 36 | 433 | 67 | 515 | 2 | 15 |
| Cyprus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 16 | : | : |
| Czech Republic | 16 | 160 | 26 | 555 | 47 | 278 | 52 | 315 | 23 | 227 | 51 | 342 | : | : |
| Denmark | 11 | 57 | 2 | 143 | 30 | 167 | 21 | 120 | 19 | 255 | 42 | 275 | : | : |
| Finland | 8 | 42 | 22 | 328 | 139 | 257 | 92 | 334 | 62 | 489 | 205 | 513 | : | : |
| France | : | : | 158 | 2278 | 1104 | 2568 | 1136 | 6301 | 777 | 5526 | 557 | 2717 | : | : |
| Germany | 32 | 326 | 57 | 1463 | 625 | 3211 | 85 | 1391 | 173 | 2908 | 179 | 2053 | 12 | 101 |
| Italy | 126 | 938 | 165 | 2547 | 1084 | 2603 | 344 | 2748 | 557 | 2956 | 675 | 3283 | 9 | 36 |
| Latvia | : | : | : | : | 8 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 37 | 11 | 17 | : | : |
| Malta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 11 | 89 | 12 | 372 | 58 | 298 | 9 | 134 | 23 | 410 | 100 | 768 | 6 | 37 |
| Poland | 168 | 522 | 141 | 1474 | 500 | 1727 | 355 | 904 | 322 | 1580 | 315 | 1214 | 0 | 16 |
| Portugal | 31 | 84 | 12 | 230 | X | X | 49 | 138 | 100 | 264 | 111 | 432 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovakia | 3 | 82 | 27 | 383 | 21 | 81 | 42 | 205 | 23 | 154 | 100 | 477 | : | : |
| Slovenia | 10 | 39 | 12 | 212 | 33 | 152 | 26 | 111 | 3 | 76 | 44 | 259 | 2 | 27 |
| Sweden | 31 | 139 | 62 | 814 | 143 | 411 | 149 | 823 | 96 | 727 | 136 | 553 | 59 | 57 |
| United Kingdom | 26 | 151 | 83 | 1596 | 12 | 58 | 603 | 2142 | 294 | 3296 | 463 | 1722 | 825 | 3207 |
| Norway | 13 | 80 | 15 | 292 | 136 | 416 | 72 | 356 | 61 | 555 | 117 | 523 | 0 | 0 |
| Switzerland | 10 | 68 | 114 | 1014 | 100 | 403 | 127 | 573 | 54 | 688 | 329 | 1076 | 37 | 72 |
| Turkey | 105 | 668 | 226 | 1224 | 125 | 491 | 1096 | 2083 | 221 | 638 | 329 | 1024 | : | : |

Source: DG Research, WiS database
Exceptions to the reference year: LV, TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2002; CY, NO, PT: 2003
FTE instead of HC: NL, IL (2001)
Data unavailable by field of science: BG, EE, EL, ES, IE, IS, HU, IL, LT, LU, RO
$B E$ total calculated as sum of BE-FL + BE-FR
PT: H included in SS
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

## Annex 3.2: Number of Academic Staff by grade and sex, 2004

|  | Grade A |  | Grade B |  | Grade C |  | Grade D |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 188 | 1791 | 470 | 2427 | 2167 | 3917 | 2034 | 3339 |
| Belgium | 200 | 2016 | 544 | 2072 | 2031 | 4104 | 2712 | 3106 |
| Cyprus | 5 | 44 | 14 | 67 | 98 | 163 | 55 | 109 |
| Czech Republic | 215 | 1877 | 844 | 2972 | 4105 | 6110 | 1049 | 1099 |
| Denmark | 125 | 1017 | 886 | 2738 | 557 | 926 | 1632 | 2189 |
| Estonia | 94 | 454 | 372 | 630 | 966 | 740 | 653 | 328 |
| Finland | 528 | 1963 | 1440 | 1648 | 772 | 687 | 3228 | 4308 |
| France | 3732 | 19390 | 20560 | 32546 | : | : | 4591 | 7103 |
| Germany | 1163 | 11453 | 4672 | 24271 | 4344 | 12457 | 37866 | 68563 |
| Greece | 216 | 1699 | 431 | 1468 | 753 | 1608 | 1481 | 2280 |
| Hungary | 447 | 2448 | 1657 | 3701 | 4133 | 4843 | 667 | 1148 |
| Italy | 2960 | 15111 | 5682 | 12420 | 9296 | 11933 | : | : |
| Latvia | 120 | 333 | 205 | 349 | 2293 | 1235 | : | : |
| Lithuania | 80 | 580 | 810 | 1356 | 933 | 951 | 2745 | 1839 |
| Malta | 1 | 43 | 193 | 415 | 23 | 139 | 2 | 6 |
| Netherlands | 219 | 2108 | 312 | 1884 | 1203 | 3261 | 5303 | 8153 |
| Poland | 1801 | 7437 | 2600 | 6881 | 14533 | 20927 | 0 | 0 |
| Portugal | 303 | 1148 | 917 | 1750 | 2751 | 3581 | 2349 | 2312 |
| Slovakia | 216 | 1382 | 840 | 1826 | 3409 | 3614 | 696 | 586 |
| Slovenia | 130 | 876 | 203 | 583 | 642 | 993 | 299 | 325 |
| Spain | 1965 | 9208 | 25293 | 44800 | 1596 | 1464 | 39177 | 38262 |
| Sweden | 676 | 3524 | 4388 | 6984 | 422 | 632 | 10617 | 10632 |
| United Kingdom | 2306 | 12172 | 8170 | 18027 | 25434 | 29728 | 15418 | 18018 |
| Bulgaria | 362 | 1646 | 2477 | 4624 | : | : | 7078 | 6434 |
| Iceland | 30 | 169 | 55 | 129 | 122 | 108 | : | : |
| Israel | 165 | 1398 | 245 | 891 | 413 | 818 | 316 | 391 |
| Norway | 414 | 2222 | 1215 | 3099 | 658 | 789 | 3812 | 4007 |
| Romania | 3076 | 7508 | 8216 | 8507 | : | : | 1958 | 1592 |
| Switzerland | 771 | 3894 | 485 | 1598 | 6554 | 12861 | 981 | 1392 |
| Turkey | 2102 | 6128 | 3737 | 9880 | 1615 | 2371 | 12449 | 17498 |

Source: DG Research WiS database
Exceptions to the reference year: TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2002; CY, NO, PT: 2003
FTE instead of HC: NL, IL (2001)
Data unavailable: IE, LU; Grade C unavailable: BG, FR, RO; Grade D unavailable: BG-FR, IT, LV, IS, PL
BE total calculated as sum of BE-FL + BE-FR
Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

## Annex 3.3: Number of R\&D personnel in HES by occupation and sex, HC, 2003

|  | Researchers |  | Technicians |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 5216 | 12198 | 2555 | 1364 | 2618 | 1121 |
| Belgium | 7958 | 14417 | 3076 | 2699 | 2279 | 1002 |
| Cyprus | 172 | 383 | 5 | 16 | 22 | 3 |
| Czech Republic | 4205 | 8584 | 2103 | 1596 | 940 | 449 |
| Denmark | 4379 | 9611 | 3883 | 1582 | 0 |  |
| Estonia | 1696 | 2066 | 365 | 166 | 405 | 115 |
| Germany | 43593 | 130638 | 13133 | 15876 | 31696 | 11814 |
| Greece | 7567 | 12940 | 3845 | 3729 | 3773 | 3234 |
| Hungary | 6976 | 11995 | 2580 | 1144 | 3737 | 1337 |
| Ireland | 3580 | 5650 | 440 | 760 | 1180 | 400 |
| Latvia | 2181 | 1970 | 463 | 271 | 253 | 164 |
| Lithuania | 4040 | 4264 | 494 | 263 | 1031 | 360 |
| Luxembourg | 21 | 28 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Malta | 155 | 484 | 6 | 56 | 111 | 29 |
| Netherlands | 3589 | 8809 | 3936 | 3853 | 6507 | 6887 |
| Poland | 28758 | 42211 | 4120 | 3302 | 5403 | 1951 |
| Portugal | 9143 | 10763 | 515 | 324 | 529 | 214 |
| Slovakia | 4558 | 6444 | 522 | 214 | 132 | 47 |
| Slovenia | 985 | 2004 | 307 | 359 | 183 | 30 |
| Spain | 38670 | 63902 | 4648 | 5954 | 10508 | 8043 |
| Sweden | 12857 | 23737 | 3238 | 4067 | 6456 | 1694 |
| Bulgaria | 1144 | 1880 | 274 | 317 | 177 | 128 |
| Iceland | 467 | 617 | 96 | 59 | 61 | 23 |
| Norway | 6099 | 10117 | 3522 | 2223 | 0 |  |
| Romania | 3841 | 5685 | 440 | 292 | 1217 | 1384 |
| Switzerland | 5317 | 14010 | 203 | 759 | 4370 | 3599 |
| Japan | 57989 | 226341 | 4666 | 5570 | 22418 | 18999 |

Annex 3.4: Number of R\&D personnel in GOV by occupation and sex, HC, 2003

|  | Researchers |  | Technicians |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 820 | 1548 | 661 | 664 | 1263 | 1054 |
| Belgium | 648 | 1525 | 496 | 750 | 174 | 310 |
| Cyprus | 87 | 130 | 96 | 164 | 120 | 127 |
| Czech Republic | 2611 | 5386 | 2038 | 1286 | 1183 | 853 |
| Denmark | 1143 | 2130 | 1136 | 609 | : | : |
| Estonia | 379 | 258 | 117 | 46 | 241 | 104 |
| Germany | 11895 | 32040 | 4632 | 5077 | 17359 | 13693 |
| Greece | 1176 | 1851 | 839 | 1111 | 1638 | 2533 |
| Hungary | 2323 | 3499 | 1530 | 829 | 2009 | 1284 |
| Ireland | 204 | 462 | 155 | 432 | 210 | 194 |
| Italy | 6721 | 10668 | 6662 | 8707 | 5652 | 4200 |
| Latvia | 366 | 294 | 119 | 83 | 342 | 268 |
| Lithuania | 874 | 862 | 617 | 209 | 389 | 350 |
| Luxembourg | 104 | 261 | 27 | 45 | 78 | 33 |
| Malta | 2 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 14 |
| Netherlands | 2130 | 6414 | 1070 | 3309 | 1001 | 1942 |
| Poland | 6150 | 8814 | 2976 | 2424 | 3267 | 1759 |
| Portugal | 2909 | 2118 | 615 | 498 | 673 | 460 |
| Slovakia | 1286 | 1558 | 747 | 293 | 386 | 188 |
| Slovenia | 803 | 1053 | 301 | 243 | 190 | 103 |
| Spain | 9548 | 11919 | 3766 | 3006 | 3443 | 3624 |
| United Kingdom | 3225 | 6787 | 1678 | 3509 | 3861 | 3734 |
| Bulgaria | 3235 | 3142 | 1924 | 958 | 1164 | 554 |
| Iceland | 430 | 592 | 234 | 188 | 173 | 123 |
| Norway | 1540 | 2790 | 1103 | 1209 | : | : |
| Romania | 3083 | 3187 | 1195 | 852 | 769 | 555 |
| Switzerland | 231 | 753 | 131 | 185 | 205 | 128 |
| Turkey | 1047 | 2757 | 230 | 1053 | 758 | 2799 |
| Japan | 4233 | 32035 | 4101 | 5405 | 10711 | 15882 |

## Annex 3.5: Number of R\&D personnel in BES by occupation and sex, HC, 2003

|  | Researchers |  | Technicians |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 2012 | 17383 | 2026 | 9293 | 1058 | 2248 |
| Belgium | 3838 | 17191 | 3141 | 10385 | 2030 | 5087 |
| Cyprus | 57 | 199 | 45 | 134 | 89 | 43 |
| Czech Republic | 2030 | 8387 | 2754 | 7172 | 1606 | 2173 |
| Denmark | 4821 | 14365 | 5529 | 7669 | 2361 | 2207 |
| Estonia | 226 | 727 | 131 | 179 | 152 | 114 |
| Germany | 20205 | 151014 | 21870 | 60864 | 22177 | 62065 |
| Greece | 909 | 3466 | 919 | 5111 | 1390 | 1304 |
| Hungary | 1348 | 4151 | 1442 | 1134 | 604 | 759 |
| Ireland | 1341 | 5270 | 574 | 2225 | 755 | 1872 |
| Italy | 6110 | 25937 | 4887 | 32606 | 3860 | 12287 |
| Latvia | 379 | 323 | 80 | 143 | 178 | 125 |
| Lithuania | 187 | 325 | 69 | 71 | 96 | 33 |
| Netherlands | 2125 | 22404 | 2758 | 19961 | 2083 | 8111 |
| Poland | 3332 | 8464 | 2718 | 4088 | 2369 | 3136 |
| Portugal | 1811 | 4291 | 568 | 1900 | 430 | 882 |
| Slovakia | 696 | 1559 | 567 | 886 | 403 | 434 |
| Slovenia | 569 | 1443 | 1043 | 1964 | 278 | 379 |
| Spain | 9080 | 25025 | 7801 | 24309 | 5419 | 10692 |
| Bulgaria | 658 | 727 | 365 | 360 | 172 | 116 |
| Iceland | 420 | 854 | 183 | 344 | 156 | 236 |
| Norway | 2866 | 12288 | 1896 | 5522 | : | : |
| Romania | 4215 | 5871 | 1572 | 1235 | 1840 | 2499 |
| Switzerland | 2845 | 14365 | 3310 | 15440 | 2195 | 4075 |
| Turkey | 1320 | 3957 | 442 | 2003 | 301 | 1084 |
| Japan | 32596 | 465024 | 15018 | 45663 | 26538 | 68540 |

Annex 4.1: Number of applicants and beneficiaries of research funding by sex, 2004

|  | APPLICANTS |  | BENEFICIARIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Austria | 207 | 891 | 85 | 464 |
| Belgium | 333 | 436 | 161 | 200 |
| Cyprus | 72 | 379 | 15 | 130 |
| Czech Republic | 693 | 2899 | 439 | 1883 |
| Denmark | 766 | 1893 | 258 | 744 |
| Estonia | 209 | 626 | 186 | 552 |
| Finland | 470 | 1255 | 156 | 329 |
| Germany | 2747 | 18329 | 1518 | 11218 |
| Greece | 888 | 745 | 222 | 229 |
| Hungary | 1983 | 3872 | 1101 | 2192 |
| Ireland | 1451 | 1778 | 214 | 292 |
| Italy | 2213 | 7683 | 868 | 3245 |
| Latvia | 244 | 510 | 252 | 447 |
| Lithuania | 42 | 66 | 14 | 21 |
| Luxembourg | 29 | 43 | 23 | 37 |
| Netherlands | 898 | 3160 | 402 | 1310 |
| Poland | 2527 | 6704 | 798 | 2428 |
| Portugal | 1360 | 1224 | 433 | 409 |
| Slovakia | 51 | 185 | 14 | 42 |
| Slovenia | 936 | 1564 | 342 | 554 |
| Sweden | 1206 | 4039 | 472 | 1827 |
| United Kingdom | 7285 | 15967 | 1116 | 3602 |
| Iceland | 359 | 724 | 181 | 347 |
| Israel | 236 | 1119 | 71 | 435 |
| Norway | 1405 | 4169 | 492 | 1333 |
| Switzerland | 693 | 2257 | 403 | 1389 |

Annex 4.2: Number of women and men on scientific boards, 2004

|  | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 51 | 303 |
| Cyprus | 5 | 70 |
| Czech Republic | 37 | 276 |
| Denmark | 49 | 90 |
| Estonia | 152 | 542 |
| Finland | 29 | 32 |
| France | 114 | 313 |
| Germany | 603 | 2915 |
| Hungary | 75 | 295 |
| Ireland | 65 | 227 |
| Italy | 102 | 693 |
| Latvia | 33 | 107 |
| Lithuania | 41 | 191 |
| Netherlands | 43 | 164 |
| Poland | 10 | 129 |
| Slovakia | 16 | 141 |
| Slovenia | 17 | 64 |
| Sweden | 39 | 44 |
| United Kingdom | 139 | 304 |
| Bulgaria | 42 | 86 |
| Iceland | 73 | 198 |
| Norway | 14 | 15 |
| Switzerland | 21 | 82 |

Source: WiS database DG Research
Exceptions to the reference year: FR, PL, SE: 2002; BG, IT, LV: 2003
Data unavailable: AT, EL, ES, LU, MT, PT, RO, TR, IL
BE: Flemish community only
Data are not comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

## Annex 4.3: Total intramural R\&D expenditure (GERD) for all sectors in million PPS, 2003

|  | Expenditure |
| :---: | :---: |
| EU-25 | 178676.316 |
| Austria | 4652.712 |
| Belgium | 5014.474 |
| Cyprus | 44.626 |
| Czech Republic | 1894.518 |
| Denmark | 3644.858 |
| Estonia | 116.812 |
| Finland | 4420.988 |
| France | 32398.402 |
| Germany | 48851.666 |
| Greece | 1182.583 |
| Hungary | 1239.75 |
| Ireland | 1349.2 |
| Italy | 14936.286 |
| Latvia | 77.833 |
| Lithuania | 229.64 |
| Luxembourg | 366.974 |
| Malta | 16.9 |
| Netherlands | 7731.217 |
| Poland | 2099.245 |
| Portugal | 1301.979 |
| Slovakia | 351.339 |
| Slovenia | 503.038 |
| Spain | 9404.557 |
| Sweden | 8782.562 |
| United Kingdom | 28631.659 |
| Bulgaria | 249.4 |
| Iceland | 214.546 |
| Norway | 2515.057 |
| Romania | 553.195 |
| Switzerland | 4946.71 |
| Turkey | 2602.61 |
| Japan | 98107.113 |
| United States | 247744.693 |

Source: Eurostat R\&D statistics
Exceptions to the reference year: TR: 2002; CH: 2000
Provisional value: EL
Estimated value: AT, SI

## Annex 5 Methodological notes

These notes are intended to provide a quick reference guide for the reader about the coverage and identification of groups, units and concepts presented in this booklet.

## Statistical terms \& classifications

## 1. Students and Graduates

The International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED-97) categorises education programmes by level. Tertiary Education or Higher Education involves 2 stages: the first includes largely theoretically-based programmes to provide sufficient qualifications for gaining entry to advanced research programmes and professions with high skills requirements (ISCED 5A) and programmes generally more practical/ technical/ occupationally specific than ISCED 5A (ISCED 5B). The second is for programmes leading to the award of an advanced research qualification (e.g. PhD, Doctorate...). The programmes are devoted to advanced study and original research. (ISCED 6).

The number of graduates refers to those graduating in the reference year and not to the number of graduates in the population. The number of graduates also refers to non-nationals graduating in the country, but does not include nationals graduating abroad. In some countries, France and Portugal, for example, non-PhD programmes with an advanced research component are included in ISCED 6.
2. Human Resources in Science and Technology (HRST)

This methodology is based upon identifying individuals from the Community Labour Force Survey case data, according to educationa attainment and occupation, and is proposed by the Canberra Manual (OECD, 1994). The types of HRST presented in this publication are: HRST: People who fulfil one or the other of the following conditions:

- Successfully completed education at the third level in an S\&T field of study (see S\&T (Science and Technology) fields of study below.)
- Not formally qualified as above but employed in a S\&T occupation (ISCO-2 "Professionals" and ISCO-3 "Technicians") where the above qualifications are normally required.

HRSTE: HRST Education - People who have successfully completed tertiary education in an S\&T field of study (see S\&T (Science and Technology) fields of study below.)

HRSTO: HRST Occupation - People who are employed in an S\&T occupation (ISCO '88 COM, codes 2 "Professionals" and 3 "Technicians") (see ISCO 88 definitions for explanation of S\&T occupations)

HRSTC: HRST Core - People who are both HRSTE and HRSTO.
3. S\&T (Science and Technology) fields of study

ISCED distinguishes twenty-one main fields of study. For macro-measurement of HRST, it is recommended that they are regrouped into the following seven broad fields of study in S\&T: natural sciences; engineering and technology; medical sciences; agricultural sciences; social sciences; humanities; other fields. (Canberra manual §71)

## 4. ISCO-88 definitions

Two of the ISCO-88 major groups are used in the definition of HRST, HRSTO and HRSTC. They are:

Major group 2 - "Professionals" (ISCO-2): "This major group includes occupations whose main tasks require a high level of professional knowledge and experience in the fields of physical and life sciences, or social sciences and humanities. The main tasks consist of increasing the existing stock of knowledge, applying scientific and artistic concepts and theories to the solution of problems, and teaching about the foregoing in a systematic manner"

Research occupations are classified as ISCO-2.
Major group 3 - "Technicians and associate professionals" (ISCO-3): "This major group includes occupations whose main tasks require technical knowledge and experience in one or more fields of physical and life sciences, or social sciences and humanities. The main tasks consist of carrying out technical work connected with the application of concepts and operational methods in the above-mentioned fields, and in teaching at certain educational levels."
5. Scientists and Engineers (S\&E) in employment

- Physical, mathematical and engineering occupations (ISCO '88 COM code 21)
- Life science and health occupations (ISCO '88 COM code 22).


## 6. Researchers and research personnel

The Frascati Manual (Proposed standard practice for Surveys on Research and Experimental Development, OECD, 2002) provides an international definition for Research personnel, $\S 294$, which is composed of:

RSE: Researchers §301: "Researchers are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge, products, processes, methods and systems and also in the management of the projects concerned".

TEC: Technicians and equivalent staff §306: "Technicians and equivalent staff are persons whose main tasks require technical knowledge and experience in one or more fields of engineering, physical and life sciences or social sciences and humanities. They participate in R\&D by performing scientific and technical tasks involving the application of concepts and operational methods, normally under the supervision of researchers. Equivalent staff perform the corresponding R\&D tasks under the supervision of researchers in the social sciences and humanities".

AUX: Other supporting staff (Others) §309: "Other supporting staff includes skilled and unskilled craftsmen, secretarial and clerical staff participating in R\&D projects or directly associated with such projects".
7. Main fields of science

The Frascati Manual (OECD 2002) also provides definitions for the six main fields of science (page 67), which are adhered to in this publication, unless otherwise indicated. The following abbreviations have been used:

| NS: | Natural sciences |
| :--- | :--- |
| ET: | Engineering and Technology |
| MS: | Medical sciences |
| AS: | Agricultural sciences |
| SS: | Social sciences |
| H: | Humanities. |

The breakdown of researchers by field of science is according to the field in which they work and not according to the field of study of their qualification.

## 8. NACE categories

The broad fields for researchers in the business enterprise sector are categorised using the General Industrial Classification of Economic Activities (NACE) within the European Union as recommended by the Frascati Manual. §169.

For a full listing of the NACE categories see Table 3.1 of the Frascati Manual which can be accessed through the OECD website http://www.oecd.org
8. Sectors of the economy

The Frascati Manual (OECD 2002) identifies and defines four sectors of the economy (§156):

HES (§206): Higher Education Sector which includes all universities, colleges of technology and other institutes of post-secondary education, whatever their source of finance or legal status. It also includes all research institutes, experimental stations and clinics operating under the direct control of or administered by or associated with higher education establishments.

GOV (§184): Government Sector which includes all departments, offices and other bodies, which furnish but normally do not sell to the community those common services, other than higher education, which cannot otherwise be conveniently and economically provided and administer the state and the economic and social policy of the community. (Public enterprises are included in the business enterprise sector); Non-profit institutes (NPIs) controlled and mainly financed by government.

BES (§163): Business Enterprise Sector which includes all firms, organisations and institutions whose primary activity is the market production of goods or services (other than higher education) for sale to the general public at an economically significant price; The private non-profit institutes mainly serving them.

PNP (§194): Private non-profit sector which covers non-market, private non-profit institutions serving households (i.e. the general public); Private individuals or households.

The sector entitled "Abroad" is not referred to in this booklet.
9. Units (Head count \& Full-time equivalence)

The units of measurement proposed by the Frascati Manual are:
HC (§329): Head count. The number of persons engaged in R\&D at a given date; the average number of persons engaged in R\&D during the (calendar) year or the total number of persons engaged in R\&D during the (calendar) year.

FTE (§333): Full-time equivalence. One FTE corresponds to one year's work by one person.

Data are presented in HC unless otherwise indicated.

## 10. R\&D expenditure

The Frascati manual recommends using purchasing power parities (PPP) to express R\&D statistics in monetary terms. (§36)

PPPs are defined as currency conversion rates that both convert to a common currency and equalise the purchasing power of different currencies. They eliminate the differences in price levels between countries in the process of conversion of economic indicators expressed in a national currency to an artificial common currency, called Purchasing Power Standard (PPS).
11. Gender Pay Gap

The gender pay gap is defined as the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees. Two gender pay gaps are presented in this publication:

- Gender Pay Gap for the whole economy

The target population consists of all paid employees aged 16-64 that are 'at work 15+ hours per week'.

- Gender Pay Gap by selected occupations in private enterprise for EU-25

The statistics refers to enterprises with at least 10 employees in the areas of economic activity defined by sections C-K of NACE Rev.1.1. Age group from 14 years upwards.
12. Average Annual Growth Rates

The average annual rate of growth $g$ of I between initial year (year a) and final year (year b) in percent is given by:
$g=\left[\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{1 /(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})}-1\right] \times 100$
13. Seniority grades / Academic staff

The statistics on the seniority of academic staff are collected at the national level through Higher Education and R\&D Surveys or directly from higher education institutions as part of their own monitoring systems and administrative records. It is important to note that these data are not always completely cross-country comparable as the seniority grades are not yet part of a formal international classification. Furthermore it is not always possible to distinguish research staff from teaching staff, although the target population for 'academic staff' in the Women and Science Questionnaire has been defined as researchers in higher education
institutions (excluding staff involved only in teaching or administration and not at all in research).

The grades presented in this publication are based upon national mappings according to the following definitions:

A: The single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted
B: Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position (A) but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders (ISCED 6)
C: The first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD graduate would normally be recruited
D: Either postgraduate students not yet holding a PhD degree who are engaged as researchers, or researchers working in posts that do not normally require a PhD. A complete list of the grades reported for each country is included later in this Annex.

## Data sources

Data for ISCED 6 graduates come from the UOE survey and have been downloaded from Eurostat's online database NewCronos, except for Israel who provides data directly to the Women and Science Unit's WiS (Women in Science) database. The reference year is the calendar year in which the academic year began. Eurostat data represent the numbers of people who are studying in the reference country and not nationals who are studying abroad. For some countries (EL, IE, LU, PT, CY, IS, MT) large numbers also graduate from universities in other countries.

Data on researchers, R\&D personnel and R\&D expenditure come from the R\&D survey and have been extracted from NewCronos.

Data referring to the labour force are drawn from the Community Labour Force Survey (CLFS) in different ways. The HRST data are extracted from NewCronos. The indicator on Scientists \& Engineers in the total labour force has been supplied by Eurostat.

Data on Gender Pay Gap for the whole economy comes from a variety of sources such as the European Community Household Panel (ECHP), the EU Survey on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC) and national sources as was downloaded from NewCronos

Data on Gender Pay Gap by selected occupations in private enterprise for EU-25 comes from the Structure of Earnings Survey 2002 and was supplied to us by Eurostat

The statistical correspondents of the Helsinki Group on Women and Science report data on academic staff (see Seniority grades/ Academic staff above), on the applicants and beneficiaries of research funding and the sex-composition of scientific boards to the WiS database on a goodwill basis. A complete list of the source institutions can be found at the end of this Annex.

## Other data considerations

## Age Groups

Data referring to the Labour Force refer to all persons age $15+$ living in private households and include the employed and unemployed populations. Data referring to HRST refer to the age group 25-65.

## Small numbers

For some countries with small populations, raw data relating to small numbers of people have been reported here. The percentages and indicators have not always been included (mostly growth rates) and this is identified in the footnotes of the indicator. The reader is therefore asked to bear this in mind when interpreting the most disaggregated data, in particular for Luxembourg, Cyprus and Malta, and, in some cases, for Estonia, Iceland and Latvia.

## EU estimates

Researchers, R\&D personnel, Seniority in academic staff
EU totals estimated by DG Research (as noted in the footnotes) are based upon existing data for the reference year in combination with the next available year if the reference year is unavailable, in the following sequence ( $n-1, n+1, n-2, n+2$ etc...)
These estimates are not official, but are intended as a guide to the reader.

## Rounding Error

In some cases, the row or column totals do not match the sum of the data. This may be due to rounding error.

## Decimal places

All the data in the figures have been calculated and presented to one decimal space. However the values have been rounded up so that all the values can fit on each figure. This explains why in some cases the same number can be displayed slightly different.

## Cut-off date

Cut-off date for date downloaded from Eurostat's Newcronos database was January 2006

## Country Codes

Country names have been used in full where possible and are abbreviated in accordance with the ISO Alpha-2 codes in the footnotes with the exceptions of Greece and the United Kingdom, as follows:

| Member | States: |
| :--- | :--- |
| AT | Austria |
| BE | Belgium |
| BE-FL | Dutch-speaking community in Belgium |
| BE-FR | French-speaking community in Belgium |
| CY | Cyprus |
| CZ | Czech Republic |
| DE | Germany |
| DK | Denmark |
| EL | Greece |
| EE | Estonia |
| ES | Spain |
| FI | Finland |
| FR | France |
| HU | Hungary |
| IE | Ireland |
| IT | Italy |
| LT | Lithuania |
| LV | Latvia |
| LU | Luxembourg |
| MT | Malta |
| NL | The Netherlands |
| PL | Poland |
| PT | Portugal |
| SE | Sweden |
| SI | Slovenia |
| SK | Slovakia |
| UK | United Kingdom |
|  |  |

Non- Member states:
This term refers to countries that are associated to the Sixth EU Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development, 2002-2006 (FP6).

| BG | Bulgaria |
| :--- | :--- |
| CH | Switzerland |
| IS | Iceland |
| IL | Israel |
| NO | Norway |
| RO | Romania |
| TR | Turkey |
| JP | Japan |
| US | United States of America |

Countries listed in the tables and figures throughout this booklet are displayed in one of the following ways:

- ranked according to the data on women
- full country names listed in alphabetical order according to the English name of the country (EU-25 presented first, followed by non-EU-25, followed by JP and US)

Flags
The following flags have been used, where necessary:

- = data item not applicable
$0=$ real zero or $<0.5$ of the unit
: = data not available
$x=$ data included in another cell
For more detailed methodological notes on the data presented in She Figures 2006 please access Eurostat's online database NewCronos at:
http://europa.eu.int/newcronos
or the statistics and indicators page of the Women and Science website at:
http://europa.eu.int/comm/research/index_en.cfm
- Select Science and Society -> Women and Science


## Academic staff

The following lists the academic staff grades to which reference is made in Chapter 3. Under each country heading, the grade(s) corresponding to Grade A, B, C \& D are presented.

A: The single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted
B: Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position (A) but more senior than newly qualified PhD holders
C: The first grade/post into which a newly qualified PhD (ISCED6) graduate would normally be recruited
D: Either postgraduate students not yet holding a PhD (ISCED6) degree who are engaged as researchers, or researchers working in posts that do not normally require a PhD

## AUSTRIA

A
Ordentliche/r
Universitätsprofessor
Vertragsprofessor/in
Stiftungsprofessor/in
Stiftungsprofessor/in
Gastprofessor/in mit F\&E Tätigkeit
Emeritierte/r Professor/in mit F\&E
Tätigkeit
B
Universitätsdozent/in; im öffentl.rechtl. Dienstverhältnis zum Bund; Amtstitel: Ao.Univ.Prof
Vertragsdozent/in, im privatrechtl.
Dienstverhältnis zum Bund;
Funktionsbez.: Ao.Univ.Prof

## C

Assistenzprofessor/in
Universitätsassistent/in
Assistent/in; Funktionsbezeichung:
Univ.Ass; bzw. Ass.Arzt, gem.
(§ 49 I VBG 1948)
Vertragsassistent/in
Wissenschaftliche (Künstlerische) Mitarbeiter/in (in Ausbildung)
gem. § 6 Uni-AbgG
Oberarzt, Oberärztin
Assistenzarzt/Assitenzärztin
Arzt/Ärztin in Facharztausbildung Arzt/Ärztin für Allgemeinmedizin Facharzt/Fachärztin
Zahnarzt/Zahnärztin
Ärztliche/r Mitarbeiter/in mit
Dienstverhältnis zum Land
Ärztliche/r Mitarbeiter/in mit
Dienstverhältnis zum
Krankenanstaltenbetreiber
(KAGes, KAV, TILAK)
D
Bundeslehrer/in und
Vertragslehrer/in
Beamt/in/er und
Vertragsbedienstete/r des
wissenschaftlichen Dienstes
Studienassistent/in
Wissenschaftliche/künstlerische Hilfskräfte
Demonstrator/in
Sonstiges wissenschaftliches

## Persona

(Data relate to the Universities only)

A
ZAP1-Gewoon/buitengewoon
hoogleraar
ZAP2 - Hoogleraar
B
ZAP3 - Hoofddocent
ZAP4 - Docent
ZAP5
C
AAP2 - Doctor-assistant
Unpaid researchers (post-doctoral)
WP3 - Post-doctoral of unlimited
duration
WP4 - Post-doctoral of limited duration
D
Unpaid researchers (pre-doctoral)
WP1 - Pre-doctoral of unlimited
duration
WP2 - Pre-doctoral of limited duration

FRENCH-SPEAKING COMMUNITY

## N BELGIUM

A
Professeur extraordinaire
Professeur ordinaire
B
Professeur
C
Chargé(e) de cours
CYPRUS
A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
Lecturer
Teaching Support Staff
D
Research associates and other staff

## CZECH REPUBLIC

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Senior Assistant
D
Assistant
Lecturer

## DENMARK

Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Senior/forskningsstip
Temporary scientific staff
Other scientific staff

## ESTONIA

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Assistant
Teacher
Other
(The data on academic staff cover universities and research centres within universities)

## FINLAND

A
Professor
B
Lecturer
Senior assistant
C
Assistant
Full-time teacher
D
Researcher

## FRANCE

A
Directeur de recherche
Professeur d'université
B
Chargé(e) de recherche
Maître de conférence
D
boursiers de thèse et Ingénieurs
de recherche
PhD students working in the labs

## GERMANY <br> A

C4 an allen Hochschularten
W3 an allen Hochschularten
B
C3 an allen Hochschularten
C2 auf Dauer an allen
Hochschularten
C2 auf Zeit an allen
Hochschularten
Hochschuldozenten, R1, C2, C3,
A9-A15, BAT I-IIa, III, AT
Universitätsdozenten, H1-H3,
BAT la, lb, AT
Oberassistenten, C2, H1, H2, A14,
BAT Ia-lla
Oberingenieure, $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{~A} 14$,
BAT Ib
W2

C
Hochschulassistenten, C1, H2,
BAT Ia-Ila
Wissenschaftliche und künstlerische
Assistenten, C1, H1, A13-A14,
BAT Ib, Ila
Akademische (Ober)Räte -auf Zeit-,
A13, A14
Akademische Räte, Oberräte und
Direktoren, A13-A16, C1-C3, R1,
R2, H1-H3, BAT I-Ila, AT
W1 (Juniorprofessuren)
D
Wissenschaftliche und künstlerische.
Mitarbeiter im
Angestelltenverhältnis. BAT I-IVb,
Va, AT, Verg. entspr. A13
Ärzte im Praktikum, Tarif für AIP
Wissenschaftliche Mitarbeiter im unbefristeten Arbeitsverhältnis 7), WM 2-6, BAT I-IIa
Studienräte, -direktoren im
Hochschuldienst, A13-A16, BAT I-IIb
Fachlehrer, Technische Lehrer, A9-
A13, AT
Lektoren, A13-A14, BAT I-II, AT
Sonstige Lehrkräfte für besondere
Aufgaben, A9-A13, BAT I-Vc, Kr.
VIII-XIII, AT
Lektoren, WM 3, BAT IIa
Lehrer im Hochschuldienst, WM 4-6,
BAT IIa, IIb

## GREECE

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Assistant staff
Lecturer
Post-graduate scholars
Temporary teaching staff

## HUNGARY

A
Professors
B
Assistant Professors
C
Lecturers
D
Researchers
IRELAND

ITALY
A
Full professor
B
Associate professor
C
Academic researcher
LATVIA
A
Full Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
Assistant
Lecturer
Researcher
LITHUANIA
A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Other teaching and research staff

## MALTA

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Senior Lecturer
D
No title given

## THE NETHERLANDS

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Other academic staff
Post-graduate (2-year post)
Post-graduate (4-year post)
Student assistant
(Data relate to the Universities only)

## POLAND

A
Full Professor
B
Doctor hab.
Professor of high school
C
Doctor

## PORTUGAL

A
Reitor,
Vice Reitor
Professor Catedrático
B
Professor Associado
Professor Coordenator
Professor Auxiliar
C
Professor Auxiliar
Professor Adjunto

D
Assistente
Assistente Politecnico
Leitor
Assistente estagiaro

## SLOVAKIA

A
Full Professor
B
Docenti (Associate professor)
C
Lecturers
D
Assistant lecturers

## SLOVENIA

A
Full Professor
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
Young researchers
SPAIN
A
Head of Department
B
Permanent and part-time professor Emeritus professor and visiting professor
C
Assistant Professor
D
PhD students

## SWEDEN

A
Professor
B
Senior lecturer
Other research and teaching staff

C
Post-doctoral fellow
D
Postgraduate student
Junior lecturer
Guest lecturer
Part-time teacher
Technical and Administrative staff

UNITED KINGDOM
A
Professor
B
Senior lecturer
Senior researcher
C
Lecturer
D
Researcher
BULGARIA
A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
D
Assistant
Lecturer
Research associate

## ICELAND

A
Professors
B
Associate Professor
C
Assistant Professor

## ISRAEL

A
Professor
B
Associate Professor
C

D
Lecturer
NORWAY
A
Full Professor
B
Associate Professor
Chief physician
Senior lecturer
College reader
Senior researcher
C
Post.doc. fellowshipholder
Researcher
D
Assistant Professor
PhD student
University/college lecturer
Assistant physician
Research assistant

## ROMANIA

A
Professors
B
Lectures, Assistant professors,
Assistants
D
Teaching assistants

## SWITZERLAND

A
Doctorate with experience -
Category I and II
B
Doctorate with experience -
Category III and IV
University degree - category V
Independent Professor - Category VI
C
Doctorate - Category VII, VIII
University degree Category IX
D
No university degree - Category X
Teaching, helping with research

## TURKEY

A
Professors
B
Associate Professor
C
Senior Assistant
D
Assistants and Lecturers

## Research Funds

The following list details each of the national funding bodies which have provided data for both applicants and beneficiaries of research funds. For the funding success rate, only those funds that have data available for both applicants and beneficiaries have been used in the calculation.

## AUSTRIA

Bureau for International Research and Technology Co-operation programmes (BIT)
Austrian Science Funds (FWF)
Non-framework programmes
Austrian Academy of Science (ÖAW)

## BELGIUM-FLEMISH SPEAKING

Fund for scientific research Flanders (FWO)
Funds for industrial research (IWT)
Special Fund for Research (BOF)

## CYPRUS

Research Promotion Foundation (RPF)

## CZECH REPUBLIC

Grant Agency of Academy of Science

## DENMARK

The Danish Research Council for the Humanities (SHF)
The Danish Agricultural and Veterinary Research Council (SJVF)
The Danish Natural Science Research Council SNF)
The Danish Social Science Research Council (SSF)
The Danish Medical Research Council (SSVF)
The Danish Technical Research Council (STVF)
European Space Agency-related research (ESA)

## ESTONIA

Estonian Science Fund

## FINLAND

Academy of Finland

## GERMANY

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)

## GREECE

Hellenic Public Foundation for Grants (IKY)

## HUNGARY

The Hungarian Scientific Research Fund Office (OTKA)

## IRELAND

IRCSET
IRCHSS
HRB
Italy
Central Administration
Higher Education Institutes

## LATVIA

Latvian Council of Science

## ITHUANIA

State scientific institutes

## LUXEMBOURG

Gouvernement Luxembourgeois

## NETHERLANDS

Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences council (KNAW)
The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research Council (NWO)
The Netherlands foundation for the Advancement of Tropical Research (WOTRO)

## POLAND

Government

## PORTUGAL

Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT)
SLOVAKIA
Technology Assistance Agency

## SLOVENIA

Ministry of Science and Technology

## SWEDEN

Swedish Council for Forestry and Agricultural Research
Swedish Council for Planning and Coordination of Research
Swedish Council for Research in the Humanities and Social Sciences
Swedish Medical Research Council
Swedish Natural Science Research Council

## UNITED KINGDOM

Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)
Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC)
Medical Research Council (MRC)
Natural Environment Research Council (NERC)
Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (PPARC)
Royal Academy of Engineering (RAE)
Royal Society (RS)
ICELAND
The Research Fund
The Technology Development Fund
The Graduate Research Fund
The Research Fund of the University of Iceland
The Fund for Research Equipment
The Research Fund of the University of Akureyri
The Christianity Millennium Fund
AVS R\&D Fund of the Ministry of Fisheries in Iceland
ISRAEL
Bilateral (US-Israel) Science foundation
Israel Science Foundation

## NORWAY

The Research Council of Norway (RCN)
SWITZERLAND
Federal Government

## Scientific boards

Data from the following boards have been included in the figures, tables and annex tables results for scientific boards:

## BELGIUM-FRENCH SPEAKING

Universities
Commision ad hoc "recherche en éducation"
Commission scientifique FNRS
Commission scientifique FRIA
Commission scientifique FRSM
Commission scientifique IISN

## CYPRUS

Research Promotion Foundation
University of Cyprus
Agricultural Research and Development Board

## CZECH REPUBLIC

Academy Assembly ASCR
Academy Council ASCR
R\&D Council
Rectors Conference

## DENMARK

The Danish Research Coordination Committee
Programme Commission on Nanoscience, Biotechnology and IT
Programme Commission on Energy and Environment
Programme Commission on Food and Health
Programme Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation
Board of the Danish Council for Strategic Research (DSF)
The Danish Technical Research Council (STVF)
The Danish Social Science Research Council (SSF)
The Danish Research Council for the Humanities (SHF)
The Danish Agricultural and Veterinary Research Council (SJVF)
The Danish Natural Science Research Council (SNF)
The Danish Medical Research Council (SSVF)
Board of the Danish Councils for Independent Research (DFF)

## ESTONIA

Estonian Academy of Sciences
Estonian Science Foundation and its 8 Expert Commissions
Research Councils of 33 universities or scientific institutes
Council of Research Competency at the Ministry of Education and Research

## FINLAND

Academy Board
Academy of Finland Research councils
Science and Technology Policy Council of Finland
National Technology Agency of Finland Board
Tekes Board

## FRANCE

Board of Trustees
Scientific strategic council

## GERMANY

Higher Education Institutions
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft - German Research Association (DFG) German Science Council (Wissenschaftsrat)

## HUNGARY

The Hungarian Scientific Research Fund Office

## IRELAND

Agriculture and Food Development Authority (Teagasc)
Agency to encourage the preservation and extension of the Irish language (Udaras)
Bord Iascaigh Mhara
Central and Regional Fisheries Board
Central Bank
COFORD (Forestry)
Dublin Institute of Advanced Education (DIAS)
Enterprise Ireland
Environmental Protection Agency
Economic \& Social Research Institute (dpt of Finance)
Training and Employment Authority
Forfas
Higher Education Authority
Health Research Board
Industrial Development Authority
Marine Institute
National Roads Authority
Radiological Protection Institute
Royal Irish Academy of Science
Irish Research Council for Science Tecnology and Innovation
National Economic and Social Council
Science Foundation Ireland

## ITALY

Consortium for Scientific and Technological Research Area of Trieste (AREA) Italian Space Agency (ASI)
Italian Aerospace Research Center (CIRA)
talian Center on Early Middle Ages Studies (CISAM)
National Research Council (CNR)
Tropical Herbarium of Florence
National Institute for the S\&T of the Mountains (IMONT)
Astrophysics National Institute (INAF)
"Francesco Severi" National Institute of High Mathematics (INDAM)
National Institute for the Physics of Matter (INFM)
National Institute of Nuclear Physics (INFN)
National Institute of Geophysics and Vulcanology (INGV)
National Institute of Applied Optics (INOA)
National Institute for Meteorological Research (INRIM)
Papyrologic Institute "G. Viteli"
Museum of the Physics and Center of Studies and Researches
National Institute of Oceanography and Experimental Geophysics (OGS)
"A. Dohrn" Zoological Station (SZN)
Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC)
National Council of Economy and Labour (CNEL)
Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Environment (ENEA)
Italian National Institute of Health (ISS)
Muscolar dystrophy research (TELETHON ITALY)
Italian National Statistical Institute (ISTAT)

## LATVIA

Latvian Council of Science
LITHUANIA
Science Council of Lithuania

## NETHERLANDS

Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences council
The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research Council and sub-councils
The Netherlands Organisation for Applied Research board and supervisory board University board and supervisory board

## POLAND

Governmental bodies
Higher education and research institutes
Scientific societies and foundations

## SLOVAKIA

Council for Science and Technology
The Board of the state R\&D programme
The Board of Science and Technology Assistance Agency

## SWEDEN

Universities and Colleges
Swedish Research Councils
The Cancer Foundation
Swedish Agency for Innovation Systems

## UNITED KINGDOM

Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council
Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils
Council for Sciences and Technologies
Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council
Economic and Social Research Council
Medical Research Council
Natural Environment Research Council
Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council

## BULGARIA

Ministry of Education and Science

## ICELAND

Board of the Graduate Research Refund
Board of the Research Fund of the UI
University Councils
Institute of Freshwater Fisheries Research
The Agricultural Research Institute
The Building Research Institute
The Icelandic Fisheries Laboratories
The Marine Research Institute
The National Energy Authority
The Technological Institute
ISOR Iceland Geo Survey, board
Council for Science and Technology Policy
Science board
Technology board
Research Fund, board and advisory boards
Technology Development Fund, board and advisory boards
Instruments Fund, board
Programme for IT and Environmental Sciences, grant committee and advisory boards
The Research Fund of the UA, board
Christianity Millennium Fund, board and advisory boards
AVS R\&D Fund of Ministry of Fisheries in Iceland, board and advisory boards.

## NORWAY

The Research Council of Norway

## SWITZERLAND

Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)

Annex 6: List of the statistical correspondents of the Helsinki Group of Women and Science

| Country (EU) | Statistical Correspondent | Department | Organisation | Fax | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria | Ms Gabriele SPÖRKER | Science, Technology \& Education Statistics | Statistics Austria | +43.1.71128-7165 | gabriele.spoerker @statistik.gv.at |
| Belgium, French-speaking Community | Mme Marie-Josée SIMOEN <br> Mme E. KOKKELKOREN | Responsable pour les statistique <br> Responsable pour les statistiques | F.N.R.S <br> F.N.R.S | $\begin{aligned} & +32.2 \cdot 504.93 .00 \\ & +32.2 \cdot 514.00 .06 \end{aligned}$ | mjsimoen@fnrs.be <br> kokkelkoren@fnrs.be |
| Dutch-speaking Community | Ms Lut BOLLEN | Science \& Innovation Administration | Ministry of Flanders | +32.2.553.55.98 | lut.bollen @wim.vlaanderen.be |
| Cyprus | Mr Pantelis PROTOPAPAS |  | Statistical Service of Cyprus | +357.22.661.313 | pprotopapas @cystat.mof.gov.cy |
| Czech Republic | Ms Miluse KOPACKOVA | Division of new technologies \& non-market services statistics | Czech Statistical Office | +420.284.818.102 | kopackova @gw.czso.cz |
|  | Ms Sarka Klailova | Dept of Research, Development and Inofrmation Society | Czech Statistical Office | +420 274054123 | sarka.klailova <br> @czso.cz |
| Denmark | Ms Kamma LANGBERG |  | The Danish Institute for Studies in Research and Research Policy | +45 89422399 | kl@afsk.au.dk |
| Estonia | Mr Aavo HEINLO | Social Statistics Sector | Statistical Office of Estonia | +372.62.59.370 | aavo.heinlo@stat.ee |
| Finland | Ms. Marjut PIETILÄINEN |  | Statisics Finland | +358.9.1734.2990 | marjut.pietiläinen @stat.fi |
| France | Ms Claudette-Vincent NISSLE | Bureau des études statistiques sur la recherche | Ministère de l'Education nationale, de la Recherche et de la Technologie | +33.1.5555 7656 | claudettevincent.nissle @education.gouv.fr |
| Germany | Ms Andrea LÖTHER |  | Center of Excellence Women in Science | +49.228.73.48.40 | loether@cews.unibonn.de |


| Country (EU) | Statistical Correspondent | Department | Organisation | Fax | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Greece | Ms Zografia KYMPERI | Programming \& Planning Directorate | General Secretariat of Research and Technology | +301.771.14.27 | ekyb@gsrt.gr |
| Hungary | Ms. Katalin JANAK |  | Hungarian Central Statistical Office | +36.1.345.6751 | katalin.janak @ksh.gov.hu |
| Ireland | Mr Andrew STOCKMAN | Policy and Planning, Science, Technology \& Innovation Division | Forfas | +353.1.607.32.24 | andrew.stockman @forfas.ie |
|  | Mr Declan HUGHES | Policy and Planning, Science, Technology \& Innovation Division | Forfas | +353.1.607.32.24 | declan.hughes @forfas.ie |
| Italy | Ms Giuliana MATTEOCCI | Servizio Centrale/ <br> Affari Generali / sistema informativo <br> e statistico ufficio $V$ | Ministero Università e Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica Ufficio MIUR-URST | +39.06.584.96.465 | giuliana.matteocci @murst.it |
| Latvia | Ms Maranda BEHMANE | Social Statistical Department | Central Statistical Bureau | +371.783.01.37 | mbehmane@csb.lv |
| Lithuania | Ms Danguole ARESKIENE | Statistics Unit | Central Statistical Bureau of Lithuania | +370.52.634.666 | Danguole.Areskiene @mail.std.It |
| Luxembourg | Mr Robert KERGER |  | Ministère de la Culture, de l'Ens. Supérieur \& de la Recherche | +352460 927 | robert.kerger <br> @mcesr.etat.lu |
| Malta | Ms Nicola CAMILLERI |  | National Statistics Office | +35.624.98.41 | nicola.camilleri @gov.mt |
| Netherlands | Mr Jan VAN STEEN |  | Ministry of Education, Culture and Science | +31.70.412.20.80 | j.c.g.vansteen @minocw.nl |
| Poland | Ms Dorota MACIEJKO |  | Information Processing Centre | +48.22.825.33.19 | dorota.maciejko @opi.org.pl |


| Country <br> (non EU) | Statistical Correspondent | Department | Organisation | Fax | Email |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Portugal | Ms Joana DUARTE | R\&D Statistics Department | OCES Observatory for Science and Higher Education | +351.21.395.09.79 | jduarte@oces.mctes. pt |
| Slovakia | Ms Marta MOSNA | Division of Science \& Technology | Ministry of Education | +421.2.6920.2203 | mosna@education. gov.sk |
| Slovenia | Ms Metka MEDVESEKMILOSEVIC |  | Statistical Office of the Republic of Slovenia | +386.12.340.860 | Metka.MedvesekMilosevic@gov.si |
| Spain | Ms Marina Griñón MONTES | Areo de Indicadores de Ciencia y Tecnología | Instituto Nacional de Estadística | +34.91.5839.376 | mgrinon@ine.es |
| Sweden | Ms Karin Arvemo NOTSTRAND | Higher Education Statistics | Statistics Sweden | +46.19.17.70. 82 | karin.arvemo@scb.se |
| United Kingdom | Mr Geoff Reed |  | Statistical Policy Unit Department of trade and Industry (DTI) | +44.207.215 3282 | geoff.reed@dti.gsi. gov.uk |
| Bulgaria | Ms Reni PETKOVA | Unit 'Statistics on Services' | National Institute of Statistics | +359298572488 | Rpetkova@NSI.bg |
| Iceland | Ms Sigridur VILHJALMSDOTTIR |  | Statistics Iceland | +3545281199 | sigridur.vilhjalmsdottir@hagstofa.is |
| Israel | Ms Shirley KAHANOVICH |  | Ministry of Science \& Technology | +972.3.640.55.96 | shir193@post.tau.ac.il |
| Norway | Ms Susanne LEHMANN SUNDNES |  | NIFU STEP - <br> Norwegian Institute for Studies in Research and Education/Centre for Innovation Research | +47.22.59.51.01 | susanne.sundnes@ nifustep.no |
| Romania | Ms. Maria PREDONU |  | Institutul national de Statistica | +40 213181866 | maria.predonu@ insse.ro |
| Switzerland | Mme Elisabeth PASTOR | Section Education Systems, Science and Technology (BWT) | Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) | +41.32.713.68.57 | elisabeth.pastor@ bfs.admin.ch |
| Turkey | Mr ibrahim CicioĞLU | Science, Technology and Information Communication Statistics | Turkish Statistical Institute | +90.312.419.16.71 | ibrahim.cicioglu@ die.gov.tr |
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[^0]:    Tertiary Education or Higher Education involves 2 stages: the first includes largely theoretically-based programmes to provide sufficient qualifications for gaining entry to advanced research programmes and professions with high skills requirements (ISCED SA) and programmes generally more practicall technicalloccupationally specific than ISCED 5A (ISCED 5B). The second is for programmes leading to the award of an advanced research qualification (e.g. PhD, Doctorate...). The programmes are devoted to advanced study and original research. (ISCED 6)

[^1]:    "Communciation from the Commission "Investing in research: an action plan for Europe". COM(2003) 226 final/2

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The definitions of Professionals and Technicians are taken from the International Standard
    Classification of Occupations (ISCO-88) and are more fully described in Annex 5.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ More detailed information on the classifications used in the analysis of employment can be found in Annex 5

[^4]:    For comparability purposes it is better to use Grades $A+B+C$ and exclude Grade $D$ as coverage varies more among countries for this grade than the others

[^5]:    Source: WiS database DG Research, EU-25 calculated by DG Research
    Exceptions to the reference year: LV, TR: 2000; FR: 2001; AT: 2003; CY, NO, PT: 2003
    FTE instead of HC. NL IL (2001)
    Data unavailable by field of science: BG, EE, EL, ES, IE, IS, HU, IL, LT, LU, RO
    $B E$ : sum of BE-FL + BE-FR
    PT: H included in SS
    Data are not necessarily comparable between countries due to differences in coverage and definitions

[^6]:    Source: Eurostat S\&T statistics
    PNP included in GOV: FI, NO
    Data estimated: SE

